Permitting of Non-Motorized Trails in the Industrial Forest – Natural Resource Lands (IF-NRL) Zoning Designation

Written Comment – submitted 1 August 2017 via pdscomments@co.skagit.wa.us

Roger Mitchell, Bow WA

I **strongly oppose** the proposal to modify Skagit County Code (SCC) Chapter 14.16.410: Industrial Forest – Natural Resource Lands (IF-NRL) to allow the construction of non-motorized trails to be an outright permitted use (no County permit required).

My opinions and reasons for my opposition:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->· <!--[endif]-->**Use the Special Use Permit process instead.** Why isn't the procedure already in place, the use of a Special Use Permit, sufficient to handle individual trail proposals ? Changing SCC is way too excessive a means to deal with this unique proposal.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->**Skagit County first.** I understand that this proposal is, in part, to help the City of Darrington. It's inappropriate to modify SCC to help a city that is not even in Skagit County. We need to do what is best for Skagit County property owners before we consider what may benefit the City of Darrington.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->- <!--[endif]-->Terrible "optics" of an apparent rush to judgment. The optics and lack of transparency of the apparent, sudden rush to get this proposal passed are terrible.
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->0 <!--[endif]-->This is <u>not</u> a new proposal; it's been around since 2015
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->0 <!--[endif]-->Why was the public comment period closed <u>before</u> the 1 Aug public hearing ? Customarily, public hearings have been on Tuesdays and public comment closes on the following Thursday.
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->0 <!--[endif]-->With public comment closed <u>before</u> the public hearing, no one can submit written comments based on anything that is brought to light at the public hearing.
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->0 <!--[endif]-->It's "curious" that the public comment period and the public hearing are taking place <u>before</u> the Skagit County Forest Advisory Board's (FAB's) next regularly scheduled meeting, just 13 hours later, therefore <u>without</u> the FAB's expert opinions on the matter.

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->· <!--[endif]-->"**Nose under the tent**". This appears to be the proverbial "nose under the tent" precedent that will lead to non-motorized trails being constructed on other rural forest and, eventually, agricultural zoning designations. Are small forest landowners, like me, next ? Is Ag NRL next?
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->· <!--[endif]-->**Hard to repeal.** Legislation, even bad or faulty legislation, is far more difficult to modify or repeal than it is to enact. The proposal under consideration is bad legislation.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->- <!--[endif]-->Unintended consequences. Skagit County government has a history of failing to consider the unintended consequences in land use Code changes. There are many potential unintended consequences in rushing to judgment on this proposal.

Please, do <u>not</u> rush to judgment. There are better ways to deal with this proposal that do <u>not</u> require modifying Skagit County Code.

Thank you for your time and consideration.