Zoning Issues Cross Reference Table

Use this table to link issue numbers in the "Major Themes Memo" to respective Comprehensive Plan policies and Skagit County Code provisions

September 11, 2006

Major Themes Memo: Other Issues, Page 22, Section B – Zoning

Issue	Description	Relevant Policy or Code	Location in Proposal	Discussion in Integrated
No.		Provisions	(Document/Section/Page)	SEPA/GMA Report
1.	Nonconforming structures versus nonconforming uses.	Comprehensive Plan: Land Use Element (Ch. 4), p. 4-83. Unified Development Code: 14.16.880	Draft CP: Urban/Open Space/Land Use Element (Ch. 2), p. 14. Draft Development Code: same	N/A
2.	GMA and centralized planning are experiments in social engineering.	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.	Range of uses in RFS should be expanded.	Comprehensive Plan: Land Use Element (Ch. 4), p. 4-50. Unified Development Code: 14.16.120	Draft CP: Urban/Open Space/Land Use Element (Ch. 2), p. 19. Draft Development Code: same	N/A

Issue	Description	Relevant Policy or Code	Location in Proposal	Discussion in Integrated
No.		Provisions	(Document/Section/Page)	SEPA/GMA Report
4.	Education on legal, non-	Comprehensive Plan:	Draft CP:	N/A
	conforming lots.	N/A	Urban/Open Space/Land Use	
		Unified Development Code:	Element (Ch. 2), p. 15.	
		14.06.040	Draft Development Code:	
		14.06.045	same	
		14.16.850(4)		
5.	Current OSRSI uses are	Comprehensive Plan:	Draft CP:	N/A
	too restrictive.	Land Use Element (Ch. 4), p.	Urban/Open Space/Land Use	
		4-33.	Element (Ch. 2), p. 12.	
		Unified Development Code:	Draft Development Code:	
		14.16.500	same	
6.	County's role in	Comprehensive Plan:	Draft CP:	p. 52
	providing affordable	Housing Element (Ch. 8).	Housing Element (Ch. 7).	
	housing.	Unified Development Code:	Draft Development Code:	
		N/A	N/A	