Burlington-Edison School District No. 100

Burlington, Washington

Skagit County

2016 Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|-------|--|
| I. | Executive Summary |
| II. | Six-Year Enrollment Projections |
| III. | Current District Standard of Service |
| IV. | nventory of Existing Capital Facilities |
| V. | Current and Future Capital Facilities Needs and Financing Plan |
| VI. | rojected Classroom Capacities |
| VII. | mpact Fee Formula and Schedules |
| TABL | S: |
| TABL | A: EXISTING PERMANENT CLASSROOM CAPACITY 4 |
| TABL | B: CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN 8 |
| TABL | C: PROJECTED ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY BY YEAR 10 |
| | |
| APPE | DICES: |
| APPE! | DIX A: ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS |
| APPE | DIX B:SCHOOL IMPACT FEES |
| APPE | DIX C:STUDENT GENERATION RATES |

I. Executive Summary:

This Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan (the "Plan") has been adopted by the Burlington-Edison School District (the "District") as the District's principal planning document in compliance with the requirements of Washington's Growth Management Act. The Plan has been prepared using data available through June 2016.

The Plan is consistent with prior long-term capital facilities plans of the District. In addition to this Plan, the District may from time to time prepare other short-range and long-range plans that identify the new needs for capital improvements. Any such plans will be consistent with this Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan and adopted Board policies.

In the past, the District has been eligible to receive school impact fees collected from new residential development within the boundaries of the District in the City of Burlington and Skagit County. In 2011, the District's calculated fee for capacity improvements related to growth, when reduced by required credits in the fee formula, resulted in a fee of zero. Growth in the District in recent years has slowed. As a result, the District is not planning in this Capital Facilities Plan for growth-related capacity improvements. As such, the District is not eligible currently for school impact fees. The District intends to monitor closely growth and related capacity needs. This Plan will updated on a regular basis and the District's eligibility for school impact fees will be evaluated as a part of any update.

The Plan refers to the District's "standard of service" in order to ascertain the District's current and future capacity. The District establishes its standard of service based on enrollment profiles,

program needs, and any other determinations as deemed appropriate by the Board. (See Section III for a more detailed explanation of the District's standard of service.)

The District's permanent capacity as of October 2015 is 3,150, whereas the headcount student enrollment on October 1, 2015, was 3,699. The District is using relocatable facilities to house students in the interim period until new permanent facilities become available.

II. Six-Year Enrollment Projections:

Since the 2002-03 school year, the District's student population has increased from 3,585 to 3,699 (headcount enrollment), a 3.2% increase. The District experienced rapid student growth between 2002 and 2007. However, in recent years, the District's enrollment declined slightly by about -3.7%. (See Appendix A-1.) Based on the current forecast, the District's student enrollment is projected to increase by approximately 106 students over the next six years. (See Appendix A-2.) This is a 6.2% increase over the District's current student population. Enrollment increases are primarily expected at the District's K-8 grade levels. The District's long term enrollment projections show continued growth but leveling off a bit after the initial six year projections.

These District's enrollment projections were prepared with the assistance of a professional demographer. The projections are based on a methodology for forecasting future enrollments. This methodology, a modified cohort survival method, considers a variety of factors to evaluate the potential student population growth for the years 2015 through 2025. These factors include: Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction data regarding enrollment history by year and grade and other statistical data regarding District-specific enrollment trends; Washington State Health Department and birth statistics (for purposes of predicting kindergarten enrollments); and data regarding known new developments being proposed within the Burlington-Edison School District. The projections run through 2025. Generally, enrollment projections using historical calculations are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Moving further into the future, more assumptions about economic conditions, land use, and demographic trends in the area affect the projection. Monitoring birth rates in the County and population growth for the area are essential yearly activities in the ongoing management of the CFP. In the event that

enrollment growth slows, plans for new facilities can be delayed. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projections.

Enrollment growth over the next six years is expected to occur primarily at the K-8 level. This is based, in part, on a rebound in the County birth rates and conservative in-migration of students as a result of new development. These projections assume no change in the residential zoning contained in the Bayview Ridge Subarea Plan adopted in November 2014. The District plans to closely monitor growth and will update this Plan as circumstances change.

III. Current District Standard of Service:

Each school district must establish a standard of service in order to determine the instructional space available within existing school facilities and the District's overall capacity. The District has established a class size standard of 25 students per classroom. Relocatable classrooms are utilized in the District and will continue to serve as interim facilities. However, they are not included in the calculation of the District's permanent capacity. The standard of service defined herein may change significantly as student population changes and the District is challenged to educate a larger population of students with significant special needs that require special services. Implementation of state policies regarding reduced class sizes would also affect the standard of service.

Other space needs in all school buildings include libraries, gymnasiums, and other core facilities, areas for special programs on an as-needed basis, as well as space for teachers to plan and to provide materials for the classrooms. In addition, school building space is needed for special programs, including special education instruction, ESL programs, music, art, and computer labs.

The District has implemented full-day Kindergarten throughout the District. Future updates to this Capital Facilities Plan will incorporate any funded implementation of K-3 class size reduction.

IV. Inventory of Existing Capital Facilities:

The following list identifies the existing capital facilities of the District and the number of permanent classrooms per school. Using the standard of service of 25 students per classroom, the permanent capacity of each school and the permanent capacity of the District are identified in the far right-hand column of Table A.

TABLE A: EXISTING PERMANENT CLASSROOM CAPACITY*

| Facility | Address | Size | Permanent Capacity |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|
| Edison Elementary | 577 Main Avenue, Edison | 15 classrooms | 375 |
| Allen Elementary | 1517 Cook Road, Bow | 16 classrooms | 400 |
| Bay View Elementary | 1323 Wilson Road, Burlington | 15 classrooms | 375 |
| West View Elementary | 515 West Victoria, Burlington | 16 classrooms | 400 |
| Lucille Umbarger Elementary | 820 S. Skagit St., Burlington | 26 classrooms | 650 |
| Burlington-Edison High School | 301 N. Burlington Blvd., Burlington | 38 classrooms | 950 |
| Total available district-wid | 126 classrooms [†] | 3,150 | |

^{*} Does not include capacity available at the Burlington-Edison Alternative School.

[†] Depending upon programmatic needs, regular classroom space at a particular school may be used for special programs and services. In such cases, regular classroom capacity needs may be provided for in relocatable facilities. The District also has 20 relocatable classrooms (with a total capacity of 500) that provide regular classroom capacity at the K-8 level and 11 relocatable classrooms (with a total capacity of 275) that provide regular classroom capacity at the 9-12 level.

V. Current and Future Capital Facilities Needs and Financing Plan:

The District has no current plans to add capacity during the six year planning period. Subject to future planning and voter approval, the District may need to construct a new elementary school and additional capacity at the high school level in order to accommodate capacity needs. The District would need to identify suitable property for the new elementary school.

In terms of undeveloped properties, the District owns 1.13 acres adjacent to Bay View Elementary School, 9.4 acres adjacent to the existing Burlington-Edison High School, 9.25 acres on Peacock Lane in Burlington, 16 acres adjacent to the Allen Elementary in Bow, 20 acres on Bow Hill in Bow, and 27 acres located at Peterson Road/Pulver Road. Current flood regulations prohibit the development of the property adjacent to Allen Elementary, wetland regulations prohibit the development of the Bow Hill property, and the Peterson Road/Pulver Road property is subject to zoning constraints.

The construction of additional school facilities or the acquisition of other school sites will require the voters to approve a bond issue or a capital levy. State matching funds and future impact fee may assist the District's financing needs.

During the six years of this Capital Facilities Plan, the District plans to perform noncapacity remodel and maintenance projects at schools throughout the District. See Table B. These projects are anticipated to be funded with capital levy dollars.

TABLE B: CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

| 2017 | | | | Inflation Factor: | | 106 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----|-----------|-------------------|----|-----------|
| Field House Root | HS | 5 | 34.500 | | 5 | 36.570 |
| Exterior Paint | Allen | 5 | 75,000 | | 5 | 79,500 |
| Exterior Paint | Bay View | 5 | 75.000 | | 5 | 79,500 |
| Exterior Paint | LU | \$ | 62,500 | | \$ | 66,250 |
| Exterior Paint | Eaison | 5 | 75,000 | | 5 | 79,500 |
| Exterior Paint | HS | 5 | 287,500 | | 5 | 304,750 |
| Parking lot replacement | WV | \$ | 86,250 | | \$ | 91,425 |
| Replace Energy Management System | Bay View | \$ | 172,500 | | \$ | 182,850 |
| Gym Floor Resurface | Bay View | \$ | 23.000 | | 5 | 24,380 |
| Gym Floor Resurface | Edison | 5 | 23,000 | | \$ | 24,380 |
| Dry System Sprinkler Pipe Replacement | Edison | 5 | 500,000 | | 5 | 530,000 |
| Security Camera Upgrade/Replacement | HS | \$ | 80,500 | | \$ | 85,330 |
| Auto Shop Remodel/Reconfigure | HS | \$ | 621,000 | | \$ | 658,260 |
| Tech Depot Expansion | Aux | 5 | 100,000 | | \$ | 105,000 |
| Exterior Paint | DO | 5 | 37,500 | | 5 | 39,750 |
| Replace 300 Wing Roof | HS | \$ | 32,200 | | \$ | 34,132 |
| 1st Phase HS Gym Complex Remodel | HS | \$ | 1,706,125 | | \$ | 1,808,493 |
| Tech Needs | | 5 | 1.200.000 | | 5 | 1.272.000 |

| 2018 | | | | Inflation Factor: | 109% |
|---|--------|----|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| 2ng Phase HS Gym Complex Remodel | HS | \$ | 1,706,125 | \$ | 1,859,676 |
| Parking Lot Expansion and Reconfiguration | BV | \$ | 575,000 | \$ | 626,750 |
| Carpet | BV | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 54,500 |
| Carpet | Edison | \$ | 51,750 | \$ | 56,408 |
| Boiler Replacement/Energy Upgrade | Edison | \$ | 437,000 | \$ | 476,330 |
| Kitchen Equipment Enery Upgrades | WV | 5 | 115,000 | \$ | 125.350 |
| Window Wall Replacement | WV | \$ | 139,150 | \$ | 151,673.50 |
| Library Carpet Replacement | HS | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | 21,800 |
| Heating Replacement | HS | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 109,000 |
| ADA Openers Replacement | HS | 5 | 34,500 | \$ | 37,605 |
| Football Field South Restroom | HS | 5 | 50,000 | 5 | 54,500 |
| Concessions Trailer | HS | 5 | 25,000 | \$ | 27,250 |
| Irrigation Upgrade Football Field | HS | 5 | 50,000 | 5 | 54,500 |
| High School Track | HS | 5 | 100,000 | \$ | 109,000 |
| Tech Needs | | 5 | 1,200,000 | \$ | 1,308,000 |

| 2019 | | | | Inflation Facto | r: | 112% |
|--|---------|----|------------|-------------------|----|-----------|
| Emergency Generator | BV | | 5 279.450 | | S | 312,984 |
| Parking Lot Replacement | DO | | 5 23.288 | | S | 26.083 |
| North Grandstand Roof Replacment | HS | | S 10.580 | | S | 11.850 |
| Painting North Concession Stand | HS | | \$ 18.750 | | 5 | 21.000 |
| Alger Bus Garage Replacement | Aux Svc | | \$ 65,000 | | \$ | 72.800 |
| Washbay - Exterior Paint | Aux Svc | | \$ 5,175 | | S | 5,796 |
| Tennis Court Resurface, Relight, Refence | HS | | \$ 500,000 | | 5 | 560,000 |
| Jopaine Trail Resurface | HS | | 5 20.000 | | 5 | 22.400 |
| Bus Lane Repairs | BV | | 5 105,000 | | \$ | 117,000 |
| Power Upgrade | DO | | \$ 100,000 | | 5 | 112.000 |
| HVAC Air Handling System | DO | 5 | 665.162 | | S | 744.981 |
| Roof Replacement | DO | \$ | 13.800 | | \$ | 15,456 |
| Tech Needs | | \$ | 1,200.000 | | \$ | 1,344,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| 2020 | | | | Inflation Factor: | | 115% |
| Boiler Replacement Energy Upgrade | BV | \$ | 287,500 | | \$ | 330,625 |
| Roof Replacement | BV | 5 | 103,500 | | \$ | 119,025 |
| Boiler Replacement Energy Upgrade | DO | \$ | 92,000 | | \$ | 105,800 |
| Replace Lower Walkway Roofing | HS | \$ | 11,500 | | \$ | 13,225 |
| Tech Needs | | \$ | 1.200,000 | | \$ | 1,380,000 |

VI. Projected Classroom Capacities:

Based on the District's enrollment forecasts, current standard of service, and current inventory and capacity space, the District does not have sufficient permanent capacity district-wide to house students at the elementary or high school level. The District will still need to use relocatable facilities at both levels to provide interim capacity. Future plan updates may include the addition of permanent capacity at the elementary and high school levels.

The enrollment projected for the next six years is as follows:

TABLE C: PROJECTED ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY BY YEAR: (These charts combine the information in Table A with the enrollment projections in Appendix II.)

Table C-1: K-8 School Surplus/Deficiency

| | Actual October 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
|---|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Existing Permanent Capacity | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| Added Capacity | | | | | | | 162 |
| Relocatable Capacity** | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Total Capacity | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Enrollment* | 2,523 | 2,546 | 2,594 | 2,597 | 2,611 | 2,632 | 2,638 |
| Surplus (Deficiency) Total Capacity | 177 | 154 | 106 | 103 | 89 | 68 | 62 |
| Surplus (Deficiency) Permanent Capacity | (323) | (346) | (394) | (397) | (411) | (432) | (438) |

^{*} See Appendix A-2

^{**} Relocatable facilities are not considered a permanent capacity solution.

Table C-2: High School Surplus/Deficiency

| | Actual October 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
|---|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Existing Permanent Capacity | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Added Capacity | | | | | | | |
| Relocatable Capacity** | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 | 275 |
| Total Capacity | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,225 |
| Enrollment* | 1,176 | 1,154 | 1,153 | 1,221 | 1,209 | 1,243 | 1,270 |
| Surplus (Deficiency) Total Capacity | 49 | 71 | 72 | 4 | 16 | (18) | (45) |
| Surplus (Deficiency) Permanent Capacity | (226) | (204) | (203) | (271) | (259) | (293) | (320) |

^{*} See Appendix A-2
** Relocatable facilities are not considered a permanent capacity solution.

VII. Impact Fee Formula and Schedules:

The Growth Management Act authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands. Skagit County and the City of Burlington have each adopted school impact fees ordinances and fees are collected to the time of building permit issuance.

New residential developments built within the District's boundaries will generate additional students. These students will create the need for new or expanded schools and student transportation. New growth should pay a part of the cost of the facilities needed to serve growth. Through the use of a student generation rate, the impact fee formula ensures that each dwelling unit only pays a proportionate share of the costs of new capacity projects necessary to serve new development.

The impact fee formula, in compliance with the Growth Management Act, takes into account the cost of the new capacity improvements identified in the six year planning period. It calculates the cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development. The fiscal impact of each single family or multi-family development in the District is based on projected student generation rates for single family and multi-family dwelling units. For additional information regarding the student generation rate data, see Appendix III. As required under the GMA, credits are also been applied in the formula to account for State Match

funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit.

The District does not have current plans to add new capacity during the six year planning period and therefore is not requesting a school impact fee as a part of this Capital Facilities Plan. The District intends to closely monitor enrollment growth from new development in the District and, in future plan updates, may include the costs related to additional elementary and/or high school capacity in the fee formula. These additional capacity costs could result in a future impact fee.

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULES

Impact Fee per Single Family Dwelling Unit \$ 0

Impact Fee per Multi-Family Dwelling Unit \$ 0

APPENDIX A

ENROLLMENT HISTORY AND ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

OSPI Cohort Survival Projections (December 2015)



ICOS

School Facilities and Organization INFORMATION AND CONDITION OF SCHOOLS Enrollment Projections (Report 1049)

Skagit/Burlington-Edison(29100)

| | - | CTUAL ENR | OLLMENTS | ACTUAL ENROLLMENTS ON OCTOBER 1st | ER 1st | | AVERAGE % | | FOR4 | PROJECTED ENROLLMENTS | DLLMENTS | ! | |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Grade | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | SURVIVAL | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 1202 |
| Kindergarten | 317 | 294 | 270 | 808 | 266 | 248 | | 245 | 234 | 223 | 212 | 200 | 189 |
| Grade 1 | 312 | 306 | 586 | 275 | 316 | 250 | 101.51% | 252 | 545 | 233 | 126 | 215 | 203 |
| Grade 2 | 273 | Gi Gi | 299 | 294 | 277 | 313 | 98.32% | 275 | 245 | 245 | 234 | 222 | 211 |
| Grade 3 | 203 | 262 | 309 | 283 | 293 | 276 | 98.30% | 308 | 270 | 254 | 241 | 230 | 218 |
| Grade 4 | 310 | 285 | 262 | 324 | 293 | 737 | 101 40% | 280 | 312 | 27.2 | 247 | 244 | 233 |
| Grade 5 | 274 | 303 | 279 | 271 | 309 | 302 | 99.43% | 285 | 272 | 310 | 272 | 246 | 243 |
| Grade 6 | 303 | 276 | 306 | 277 | 256 | 300 | 98.50% | 296 | 281 | 27.4 | 305 | 260 | 242 |
| K-6 Sub-Total | 2,077 | 2,025 | 2,024 | 2,033 | 2,010 | 2,005 | ļ | 1,941 | 1,572 | 1,503 | 1,737 | 1,625 | 1,539 |
| Grade 7 | 25.4 | 303 | 592 | 304 | 261 | 260 | 93.44% | 295 | 167 | 777 | 270 | 300 | 265 |
| Grade 8 | 295 | 280 | 301 | 265 | 297 | 255 | 99.22% | 258 | 293 | 289 | 275 | 268 | 298 |
| 7-8 Sub-Total | 579 | 280 | 570 | 569 | 550 | 518 | <u>l</u> | 553 | 584 | 566 | 545 | 568 | 295 |
| Grade 9 | 396 | E | 303 | 305 | 275 | 300 | 103.48% | 267 | 267 | 303 | 299 | 235 | 277 |
| Grade 10 | 313 | 288 | 297 | 293 | 300 | 273 | 97.29% | 292 | 260 | 260 | 295 | 191 | 277 |
| Grade 11 | 290 | 297 | 262 | 294 | 290 | 285 | 97.84% | 187 | 256 | 254 | 254 | 289 | 285 |
| Grade 12 | 287 | 310 | 324 | 296 | 300 | 318 | 106.35% | 303 | 284 | 304 | 270 | 270 | 307 |
| 9-12 Sub-Total | 1,136 | 1,205 | 1,216 | 1,130 | 1,173 | 1,176 | | 1,129 | 1,097 | 1,121 | 1,118 | 1,135 | 1,146 |
| DISTRICT K-12 TOTAL | 3,842 | 3,623 | 3,810 | 3,790 | 3,741 | 3,699 | | 3,623 | 3,553 | 3,495 | 3,400 | 3,328 | 3,247 |

Flo Analytics, March 2016 (Complete report on file with the District)

Figure 6—Enrollment Forecasts by Level—Medium Growth Series (Preferred) 3,500

2024 2025 2.766 2,810 1,240 1,248 2,810 1,248 1.240 7.166 2023 2,702 1,269 2.597 2.611 2.632 2.658 2.669 2,702 1,269 1,243 1,270 1,774 1,269 2022 2.669 1.276 2021 2,658 1,270 2020 2.632 1.243 2019 2.611 1.209 2018 2.597 1,221 1.22 2.594 Projections 2.594 1,166 1,154 1,153 2016 2,546 1,154 7,515 2,546 2015 2,515 1,166 2014 2.560 1.131 1.156 1.156 1.100 1.125 1.131 2,568 2,550 2013 2,568 1,125 2012 2,575 1,100 2,585 2,575 2,585 C 2010 K-8 2.494 9-12 1,156 2,494 000 3,000 2,500 1,500 500 2,000

APPENDIX B

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

This section has not been updated for the 2016-2021 Capital Facilities Plan since no Impact Fee is requested.

APPENDIX C

STUDENT GENERATION RATES

This section has not been updated for the 2016-2021 Capital Facilities Plan since no Impact Fee is requested.

| | |) |
|--|--|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | |) |
| | | |