

**Envision Skagit 2060**  
Citizen Committee Meeting  
Friday, April 29, 2011  
Skagit County Administration Building – Continental Ave  
Commissioners Hearing Room/Copper Room  
8:30 am – 4:30 pm

**Agenda**

- 8:30**            **Welcome, Agenda Review** (*KJ, LDW*)
- 8:35**            **Committee Business** – (*all*)
- Approve April 29 meeting minutes
  - Meeting protocol [review ground rules, communication agreement]
- 9:00**            **Workshop with Ed McMahon, ULI:**  
**Green Infrastructure**
- Presentation
  - Open CC Discussion – how can we apply Green Infrastructure concepts in the Skagit?
- 10:05**           **Break**
- 10:15**           **Workshop: Conservation Development**
- Presentation
  - Open CC Discussion – how can we apply Conservation Development concepts in the Skagit?
- 11:30**           **Lunch**
- 12:00**           **Vision Statement**
- 12:15**           **Review of Edits to Recommendations – Discuss, Additional Edits**
- Open Space (20 min)
  - Regional Governance (20 min)
  - Revenue Sharing/City Consolidation (20 Min)
- 1:15**            **ENVISION RESULTS**
- 2:45**            **Break**
- 3:00**            **Continued Discussion - Population Allocation, Urban and Rural Growth, Development within UGAs in the floodplain**
- With further reference to Envision model results where helpful
- 4:15**            **Next Steps/Next Meeting**
- 4:30**            **Adjourn**

**Expected Outcomes:**

1. Revisit Ground Rules and Communication Agreement and provide overview of perspectives regarding our last meeting.

2. Apply concepts from Green Infrastructure and Conservation Development to CC Issues in the Skagit.
3. Review suggested revisions to the Vision Statement that was proposed last meeting and determine whether the CC wants to use this Vision Statement or wait until the recommendations have been formed to develop a vision/mission statement that can be presented with the recommendations.
4. Discuss and edit, with goal of approving, the following recommendations
  - regional governance
  - tax basket/revenue sharing options
  - open space

Note that these recommendations continue to be proposals for the full CCs consideration, modification if desired, and approval, they are not presented as “take it or leave it.”
5. Acknowledge the CC is not ready to revisit a decision on population allocation %, until spending more time understanding Envision results. Work through Envision outputs and maps (given model development to date). Address questions and issues through Envision results.
6. Continue discussion of population allocation, whether to consider expanded Rural Villages or FCCs, and if so, under what conditions/criteria, and understand committee’s thinking on continued development in flood plain within existing city/town/UGA boundaries.