

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
SKAGIT COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001**

- 8:30 a.m. – 9:00 a.m. Work Session – Operations Division Manager/District Maintenance Supervisors
- *T 9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m. Public Works Department – Chal Martin, Director
1. Presentation: Phase I of Bottom fish Recovery Project by Paul Dinnel, Marine Resources Committee member and research scientist at WWSA Shannon Point Marine Center, Anacortes.
 2. Discussion – East Nookachamps Creek Stream Bank Protection Projects.
 3. Discussion – Work Plan for Right-of-Entry for Corps of Engineers Skagit River Flood Reduction Feasibility Study.
 4. Discussion – Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) Promotion
 5. Update – Ag Land Stream Buffer Program.
 6. Consideration of Signature – Local Agency Standard Consultant Agreement with Pentec Environmental.
 7. Miscellaneous.
- *T 10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. Planning & Permit Center – Tom Karsh, Director
1. Discussion and Possible Action on Resolution regarding Planning Commission Recommendations on “90-Day Compliance Issues” in Western Washington Growth Management Hearings Board Case Nos. 00-2-0046c, 00-2-0049c, and 00-2-0050c.
 2. Miscellaneous.
- 11:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. Review and Possible Action on proposed Nielsen annexation
- 1:30 p.m. – 2:00 p.m. Bid Opening – Swinomish Channel Boat Launch Renovation
- 2:00 p.m. – 2:30 p.m. Bid Opening – Fredonia Business Park Street and Utility Development Project ES9700-0
- 2:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. Bid Opening – Parking Lot Resurfacing Project

The Skagit County Board of Commissioners met in regular session on Tuesday, August 21, 2001, with Commissioners Ted W. Anderson and Don Munks present. Kenneth A. Dahlstedt’s absence was excused.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT – Chal Martin, Director

1. **Presentation – Phase I of Bottom Fish Recovery Project by Paul Dinnel, Marine Resources Committee member and research scientist at WWSA Shannon Point Marine Center, Anacortes.**

Mike Cawse, Water Quality Analyst, Public Works Surface Water Management Department, explained that Skagit County joined the Northwest Straits Commission on May 4, 1999 per Resolution No. 17433 by establishing a Marine Resources Committee (MRC). The purpose of the MRC is to discuss marine resource issues in Skagit County and determine action items that will enhance and protect local marine habitat. The first project undertaken by the MRC is a project directed at improving bottomfish populations

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 2**

in Skagit County. Mr. Cawrse said Phase I of the Bottomfish Recovery Project is complete and the final report will be made available for the Board to review.

Mr. Cawrse introduced Mr. Paul Dinnel, MRC member and research scientist at Western Washington University's Shannon Point Marine Center in Anacortes. Mr. Dinnel gave a brief presentation on the status of bottomfish populations, Phase I of the recovery effort, and the ongoing direction of the project.

Chairman Anderson said the only way the program will work would be to have everyone's cooperation, including the tribes and the sports fishermen. He is very supportive of the project.

Mr. Dinnel informed the Board that if the tribes thought of the project as a conservation measure, they would be happy to comply. The State of Washington and the tribes would ultimately have to work through the treaty rights issues.

Commissioner Munks said he is aware of all the issues and that if we have a program that works for everyone, he will be supportive.

2. Discussion – East Nookachamps Creek Stream Bank Protection Projects

Chal Martin, Public Works Director, spoke about the status of two stream bank restoration projects on the East Nookachamps Creek that were permitted by the Department of Fish and Wildlife Department. The projects must be done in a specific time frame. Mr. Martin said the projects propose to use large woody debris to protect the stream banks from erosion while simultaneously providing new fish habitat. The Skagit County Conservation District is in charge of contacting the affected property owners. The downstream property owners are concerned that these projects will create a flood hazard.

Commissioner Munks said he has read the letters that have been sent by the property owners. He sympathizes with the owners and feels that woody debris will not work for these projects. It needs to be done with large rocks to stabilize the high banks.

3. Discussion – Work Plan for Right-of-Entry for Corps of Engineers Skagit River Flood Reduction Feasibility Study

Mr. Martin stated that the Public Works Department is currently working out the details of Skagit County's participation in the In-Kind Services credit for various efforts associated with the Environmental Impact Study (EIS) phase of the Feasibility Study. Among the tasks under discussion is Skagit County's assistance in obtaining Rights-of-Entry for the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and it's consultants for nearly one thousand parcels either included in the project foot print or part of the evaluation areas. Mr. Martin said the Corps requires access for field surveys, environmental studies, cultural resource identification and, ultimately, geotechnical investigations.

Mr. Martin then presented a proposed modification of their standard right-of-entry documents. The modifications include 48-hour advance notification and limiting the work to Monday through Saturday daylight hours. He does not know if the Corps will approve the modifications.

Mr. Martin also presented a proposed Work Plan associated with the Right-of-Entry task. Until they identify the number of owners to be contacted, it would be difficult to determine the exact cost of the effort, although it would likely be in the \$60,000 to \$80,000 range. In-Kind services count toward the County's share of the cost for the project. The revised Right-of-Entry Work Plan is as follows:

- Advertise in local newspapers with a statement of intent.
- Compile a list of property owners associated with the parcel list.
- Schedule two Public Meetings for September 5th and September 13th. The first meeting would focus on the By-Pass Area, and the second meeting would focus on the Set-Back Area. Also included would be the Evaluation Area parcel owners and they would be requested to sign the ROE's.

- A typical ROE form would be mailed to the owners for their review, by priority as identified by the Corps of Engineers.
- Schedule follow-up telephone calls to arrange for appointments.
- Create documents with unique property descriptions and conditions.
- Arrange for document signings then send to the Corps of Engineers for their signature.
- Enter completed ROE's, with conditions, in the Skagit County and COE data bases along with Geographical Information Services maps.

Steps 4 through 6 would only be required for those not signing the ROE's at one of the Public Meetings.

4. **Discussion – Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) Promotion**

Mr. Martin explained to the Board that November 30, 2001 is the deadline for landowners eligible for the stream buffer options in SCC 14.24.120 to choose an option or become subject to the County's standard riparian buffer specified in SCC 14.24.530. It has been suggested that one way for the County to comply with the requirements in 14.24.120, is to further compensate landowners and minimize installing and maintaining buffers. It is more important to more actively promote the Conservation Reserve Enhancement program (CREP) option. Mr. Martin said that besides additional advertising to educate landowners to the financial benefits of enrolling in CREP, the County could increase those financial benefits by offering a per acre sign up bonus. An additional sign up bonus combined with the existing annual per acre rate of compensation from CREP of from 200% to 210% of the soil rental rate could have a positive effect on eligible landowners selecting this option.

CREP participants now receive a \$10/acre/year sign up bonus when their CREP contract is executed. For example, a landowner enrolling 5 acres in CREP for the maximum duration of 15 years now receives a \$750 sign up bonus. Mr. Martin would like to propose that the County offer a \$40/acre/year CREP sign up bonus on top of the existing bonus. For the same landowner, that would equate to a \$3,000 sign up bonus from the County. The total of both sign up bonuses to the property owners would be \$3,750.

Mr. Martin admits that it would be difficult to estimate what this would cost the County because it depends entirely on how many would select this option. He will continue to explore the benefits to the County of diverting ag land buffer participants to established programs administered by the Skagit Conservation District and draft and bring before the Board for further discussion a proposed Interlocal Agreement with the Skagit Conservation District in this regard.

Chairman Anderson said he would favor the bonus even though he is not in favor of the buffer programs. If it would help to move forward with the CREP program, then he agrees to move ahead.

Commissioner Munks agreed and added that the program has to have enough people in it to make it work.

Mr. Martin will come back to the Board at a later date with a specific proposal.

5. **Update – Ag Land Stream Buffer Program**

Mr. Martin explained that the Army Corps of Engineers is finalizing a contract with Tetra Tech ISG to do a preliminary ranking of the 13 evaluation areas for potential restoration. The preliminary ranking will identify those areas that need more extensive study.

The monitoring staff initiated baseline sampling a few weeks ago. Twenty-seven sites, all on public right-of-way, are sampled every two weeks.

Mr. Martin said staff is currently working with the Science Advisory Panel on four important elements from SCC 14.24.120. They are:

- Corrections and updates to the Agricultural Master Map.
- 'Test sites' study to compare the benefits of a smaller buffer width to the County's MARP buffer.

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 4**

- Performance Standards for an Adaptive Management Program.
- Revegetation and Maintenance Planting Plans for MARP buffers.

Mr. Martin told the Board that the August 27th SAP meeting will take a break from the meeting room and substitute a field tour of several CREP buffer sites in Skagit County by the Skagit Conservation District.

He said most landowners are looking for additional information in order to make their decision by the November 30, 2001 deadline. Another mailing is planned for early September, followed by newspaper ads to reach as many eligible landowners as possible. Information about the CREP promotion will be included in the mailing.

6. **Consideration of Signature – Local Agency Standard Consultant Agreement with Pentec Environmental**

Mr. Martin presented a contract with Pentec Environmental, which he explains is open-ended. Because of the evolving and relatively undefined nature of the Ag Buffer Program and the Flood Feasibility Study, a specific scope of work could not be negotiated.

Mr. Martin says his sense is that Public Works needs the flexibility and expertise the contract would offer in order to interject “professional expert” advice at key times in the process. They have a strong technical staff, but their expertise can be seen as biased to outside observers. Therefore, they need to have consultant time available to ensure their output is viewed as credible.

Chairman Anderson reminded Mr. Martin that it is their policy not to sign any open-ended contract. He encouraged Mr. Martin to determine the cost to the degree that he is able.

Mr. Martin said they would sharpen their pencils and come up with an amount for each project. He will bring an amended contract before the Board this afternoon for their approval and signature.

7. **Miscellaneous**

There were no miscellaneous items to present.

PLANNING & PERMIT CENTER – Tom Karsh, Director

1. **Discussion and Possible Action on Resolution regarding Planning Commission Recommendations on “90-Day Compliance Issues” in Western Washington Growth Management Hearings Board Case Nos. 00-2-0046c, 00-2-0049c, and 00-2-0050c**

Gary Christensen, Assistant Planning Director, presented a slightly revised ordinance relating to various findings of Noncompliance in Western Washington Growth Management Hearings Board Case Nos. 00-2-0046c, 00-2-0049c, and 00-2-0050c.

The Hearings Board gave the County 90 days to comply with some of the noncompliance issues, including:

- Set a specific timetable for, and firm commitment to, the timely completion of the Fidalgo Island Subarea Plan.
- Change the amendments to CP Policy 7A-4.2(a) to make it clear that annexations are to occur as soon as feasible within municipal Urban Growth Areas (UGA’s) to facilitate the efficient phasing of urban infrastructure and development.
- Set much stricter parameters for rural signage to protect the rural character of the County and conform with RCW 36.70A.030(14)(a) and RCW 36.70A.070(5)(c).
- Remove the uses allowed in NRL's listed in SCC 14.16.400, SCC 14.16.410, SCC 14.16.420, and SCC 14.16.430, which do not comply with the Supreme Court’s opinion of the proper interpretation of the Act’s goals and requirements as set forth in King County v. Central Puget Sound Growth Management hearings Board, 142 Wn.2d 543 (2000).

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 5

- Either clarify SCC 14.16.400(2)(e) to prohibit commercial composting of municipal yard waste on pre-existing concrete pads within the Ag-NRL lands, or adopt safeguards to ensure that this non-agricultural use is temporary. Priority is always given to agricultural uses. No leaching of toxins from urban yard debris is allowed to contaminate the agricultural soil. Also ensure that additional truck traffic will not interfere with ongoing agricultural uses.
- Amend SCC 14.10.020(1) to be similar to the County's proposed amendment at page 58 of its Responding Brief.
- Amend SCC 14.04.020 definition of 'side setback' to be similar to the County's proposed amendment at page 59 of its Responding Brief.
- Place a minimum density note on the UGA map for Concrete consistent with other UGA maps.
- Adopt current city regulations for use within the unincorporated UGA's and keep them current.
- Review the challenged Rural Freeway Service designations and eliminate all those areas that do not comply with the interpretation of RCW 36.70A.070(5)(d) included in the Final Decision and Order.

Mr. Christensen then spoke of the supplemental findings by the Board of County Commissioners.

Fidalgo Island Subarea Planning Process

1. The Board of County Commissioners finds that the Fidalgo Island Subarea Planning Process should be initiated as part of the 2002 Year Planning and Permit Center Work Program. The planning process should be completed within a 2-year timeframe. The Fidalgo Island Subarea Planning process would begin concurrent with the 2002 Comprehensive Plan Update, but would not be completed within the timeframe required for that update (or by September 1, 2002). This schedule has the benefit of beginning the Fidalgo Island Subarea Planning Process in a timely manner, while making available to the process the results of the 2002 Comprehensive Plan Update.

Rural Freeway Service Designation at Cook Road/I-5

2. Cook Road/I-5 SE Quadrant: the record supports the continued finding that man-made infrastructure was built before and ON July 1, 1990. The record shows there was a service station on this property south of Cook Road from the 1960's through the late 1980's. This is demonstrable through the Assessor's records. The gas station building was removed in the late 1980's, but other site improvements that were serving that service station still existed on the site on July 1, 1990. FOSC's suggestion that this was a small clearing used for parking related to rural or natural resource land uses is false. FOSC also states: "According to private discussions with the Samish Water District (formerly Whatcom Water District #12) personnel, there was no sewer connection to this property on or before July 1, 1990." While this is true, FOSC neglects to mention sewer service agreements between the Water District and the owners of property to the north and south of Cook Road. As stated in a March 20, 2001 letter from Samish Water District Manager Terry Klimpel to the Department:

However, please note section 2, paragraph A, sentence 3 of the Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between the District and Skagit County, dated February 25, 1980 [part of the record for Ordinance No. 17938], also accompanying this letter. The District has an obligation, recognized by Skagit County, to serve the properties you indicated. Please refer to Exhibit "C" of the document, in particular the entries for Pierson & Associates and Regency Investment Corporation.

The property that contained these man-made improvements in this quadrant was a single parcel on July 1, 1990, since the short plat of this quadrant was not approved until 19991. The roads that define the logical boundaries of this quadrant existed on July 1, 1990. The RFS designation is warranted.

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 6

3. Cook Road/I-5 Interchange, NE Quadrant: For the NE Quadrant of the Cook Road interchange with interstate 5, the roads that partially define the logical boundaries existed on July 1, 1990. Sewer commitments since the 1970's have been paid for these properties, and the County acknowledged these sewer service commitments in a 1980 Interlocal agreement with the Sewer District (see discussion in finding #2 above). These commitments are a right that the County is obligated to consider pursuant to RCW 36.70A.020(6). Sewer lines that would serve these properties are located within the road rights of way and were there on July 1, 1990. The BCC further finds that the three properties between the existing RFS designation and the Burlington Northern railroad track to the north, bordered to the west by I-5 and to the east by Highway 99, should be further considered for inclusion within the RFS designation. Although public comment was received before the Planning Commission on adding these properties to the RFS designation, the Planning Commission forwarded no recommendation. Therefore, the BCC remands these properties back to the Planning Commission for public review and comment and a public hearing, followed by a recommendation on whether these properties should be added to the RFS designation.

4. During the public comment period on the 90-day compliance issues, Friends of Skagit County (FOSC) submitted a letter, dated June 4, 2001, making assertions about the absence or presence of aspects of the built environment on July 1, 1990 at several of the Rural Freeway Service (RFS)-designated properties. In several cases, these assertions were inaccurate, incomplete, or misleading, which the Department pointed out in its Memorandum to the Planning Commission dated June 18, 2001. The Department also requested that the Upper Skagit Tribe submit information into the record in response to assertions made by Friends of Skagit County about a trunk sewer line at the Bow Hill Road/I-5 Interchange. A response letter from the Tribe, dated June 19, 2001, and received by the Department on June 22, 2001, contains information rebutting FOSC's assertions. The letter was received too late to be included in the Department's June 18, 2001, Memorandum to the Planning Commission addressing RFS issues, although it reinforces information about the trunk sewer line contained in that memorandum. Because FOSC's assertions were received one day before the end of the public comment period, providing the Tribe little if any time to respond during that comment period, and because the Department specifically requested a response from the Tribe to what it believed to be inaccurate information, the Tribe's June 19, 2001 letter is being included in the record for the 90-day compliance issues.

Sign Code

5. The Board of County Commissioners finds that some of the proposed amendments to SCC 14.16.820 Signs are too restrictive and will unduly impair the ability of rural business owners to advertise their businesses. Therefore, the BCC is remanding the Sign Code back to the Planning Commission for additional public review, public hearing and deliberations. The BCC is particularly concerned about the reduction in the allowed size of certain signs, the elimination of certain types of signs from the rural area, and the potential that certain required emergency and safety-oriented signs will be counted toward the maximum square footage of signs allowed. The BCC is also concerned about the requirement that existing signs not conforming to the code be removed within one year. Although this requirement that non-conforming signs be removed was adopted as part of Ordinance No. 17938 in July of 2000, it would become especially burdensome if some of the additional proposed restrictions to the sign code were adopted and therefore, is directly related to the sign compliance issues.

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 7**

City Impact Fees in UGA's

6. The Board of County Commissioners finds that it is inappropriate to adopt City impact fees within the Anacortes and Sedro Woolley UGA's until the provisions of Skagit County Code 14.30.020 are fully complied with. Among these provisions is the requirement that the County and the jurisdiction for which it agrees to collect impact fees shall enter into an Interlocal agreement. Such Interlocal Agreement shall address administrative provisions including, but not limited to, methods of collection, accounting, refund and indemnification of the County by the jurisdiction against any and all claims for refund or challenges to payment of the impact fees for that jurisdiction.

Chairman Anderson said the one issue that was not addressed during yesterday's discussion was the Stiles property. At the Cook Road interchange, the County adopted the Rural Freeway Service Designations, which excluded the most northern part of the Stiles property. The Hearings Board asked the department to go back review and attempt to justify those Rural Freeway Service properties. During the public hearing process, a representative of Mr. Stiles provided testimony requesting the boundaries be enlarged to include the Stiles property. The department's position was that because there was no proposal made available for public review, Mr. Stiles issues would need to be addressed separately. The Planning Commission was unable to take up the issue due to Mr. Stiles, who is a member of the Planning Commission, recusing himself, as he owns the property in question.

Chairman Anderson recommended the issue be remanded back to the Planning Commission with the hope that they have a quorum and can take action on the Stiles property in question. He says the property perfectly fits the natural boundaries. It was the opinion of Commissioner Dahlstedt that the subject needs further discussion.

Commissioner Munks said he has spent a lot of time looking at all of the property in that general area. He would also recommend the issue be remanded back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Christensen asked the County's legal consultant, John Moffat, if it would be proper to take action on everything except the one property.

Mr. Moffat indicated that the motion should be to take action on everything with the exclusion of the Stiles property.

Commissioner Munks made a motion to approve the ordinance and amend Section 10 on Page 4 and to exclude the Stiles property in question, which is I-5 to the west, Highway 99 to the east and the railroad tracts to the north within the northeast quadrant. Chairman Anderson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. **(Resolution No. 18375)**

2. **Miscellaneous**

There were no miscellaneous items presented.

REVIEW AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PROPOSED NIELSEN ANNEXATION

Chairman Anderson talked about the general process on property annexations. He said the cities need to play a fair and equitable role in the process. The Commissioners have always decided whether or not to invoke the Boundary Review Board. He wants to make sure there is an understanding that if one piece is presented for annexation, all of the surrounding areas need to be annexed as well. Chairman Anderson said the Nielsen property has irregular boundaries and properties need to be annexed as a whole and not piecemeal.

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 8**

Tom Karsh, Planning and Permit Center Director, told the Board that the notice of intent to annex has never been clear. He proposed that policies and procedures to be put into place.

Commissioner Munks said the Commissioners need to be in the process early. No one has ever put together criteria and policies. If you are going to come before the Board with an annexation that meets the required criteria, the Board will invoke the Boundary Review Board's decision. If it doesn't meet the criteria, the Boundary Review Board's decision will be revoked.

It was Chairman Anderson's view that the cities only want the valuable property and do not want the infrastructure associated with it. One of the biggest considerations is what will happen to the property once it is annexed.

Mr. Karsh said the Planning Department would take the lead in drawing up a resolution, which lays out the process regarding annexations.

MISCELLANEOUS

1. The Skagit County Health Department has been asked by the Housing Authority of Skagit County to submit an application for a Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction Grant. The grant is offered through the State Office of Community Development to help local housing authorities comply with new federal lead based paint standards on properties that receive federal funds. The Housing Authority of Skagit County will administer the grant. Peter Browning, Health Department Director, gave a brief summary of the grant during the July 2001 meeting of the Board of Health. The grant will pay for the training and certification of local contractors to perform lead based paint mitigation in housing units. It will pay for equipment necessary to perform the work. It will also pay for the Housing Authority staff or contractors to perform risk assessments and apply interim controls in housing with possible lead based paint. The community will benefit from the grant through the training of local contractors. The Health Department staff will take advantage of educational opportunities offered through the grant as deemed appropriate for their programs. The Housing Authority intends to take care of all administrative and reporting requirements for the grant.

Commissioner Munks moved to approve the Resolution that would authorize submission of the grant application to the State Office of Community Development to request \$80,750 to the Skagit County Lead-based Paint Hazard Reduction Program. Chairman Anderson seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously. **(Resolution No. 18376)**

2. Chal Martin, Public Works Director, brought back before the Board a Local Agency Standard Consultant Agreement with Pentec Environmental with a few changes requested by the Board under the Public Works agenda items earlier in the day. The changes that were made are as follows:

- Delineate the maximum payable for the Ag Buffers and Flood Study parts of the work.
- Specify that the contract will only be for these two areas of work.
- Require that task assignments, which will be specifically refined) be approved by the Board.

Commissioner Munks made a motion to approve the Agreement with Pentec Environmental and Chairman Anderson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. **(Contract No. 05424)**

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 9**

BID OPENING – SWINOMISH CHANNEL BOAT LAUNCH RENOVATION

Patrik Dylan and Earl Dierking, Parks Department, opened the following bids:

American Construction Company, Inc.
411 13th Street
Everett, WA 98201
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$160,100
Additive No. 1: \$63,400
Additive No. 2: \$141,100
Additive No. 3: \$22,900
Total Bid: \$387,500

Strider Construction Company, Inc.
4721 Northwest Drive
Bellingham, WA 98226
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Not Acknowledged
List of Proposed Subcontractors Not Attached
Base Bid: \$270,000
Additive No. 1: \$85,000
Additive No. 2: \$134,550
Additive No. 3: \$21,700
Total Bid: \$511,250

Culbertson Marine Construction, Inc.
1004 Seventh Street, Suite 201
Anacortes, WA 98221-4133
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$115,780
Additive No. 1: \$49,319
Additive No. 2: \$97,114
Additive No. 3: \$21,200
Total Bid: \$283,413

H. P. Construction Services, Inc.
1081 Cedar Avenue
Marysville, WA 98270-4232
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
List of Proposed Subcontractors Not Attached
Base Bid: \$223,283
Additive No. 1: \$69,388
Additive No. 2: \$126,644
Additive No. 3: \$22,308
Total Bid: \$441,623

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 10**

Ebenal General, Inc.
P. O. Box 31548
Bellingham, WA 9822802548
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Bidders Qualifications Not Complete
Base Bid: \$152,500
Additive No. 1: \$60,000
Additive No. 2: \$117,500
Additive No. 3: \$20,000
Total Bid: \$350,000

Christian Anker, Inc.
475 SE Barrington Drive
Oak harbor, WA 98277
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
List of Proposed Subcontractors Not Attached
Base Bid: \$193,560
Additive No. 1: \$76,714
Additive No. 2: \$98,462
Additive No. 3: \$21,525
Total Bid: \$390,261

Caico's Corporation
278 Winslow Way
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
List of Proposed Subcontractors Not attached
Base Bid: \$114,886
Additive No. 1: \$72,300
Additive No. 2: \$125,000
Additive No. 3: \$25,000
Total Bid: \$333,186

Mr. Dylan stated he would evaluate the bids and would be back before the Board with a recommendation within two weeks.

**BID OPENING – FREDONIA BUSINESS PARK STREET AND UTILITY DEVELOPMENT
PROJECT ES9700-0**

Karla Strand and Kelly Kendrick, Public Works Department, opened the following bids:

Trico Contracting, Inc.
P. O. Box 409
Burlington, WA 98233
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$1,534,689.31

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 11**

Northwest Construction, Inc.
375 118th Avenue SE, Suite No. 120
Bellevue, WA 98005
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$1,206,176.14

Thomco Construction, Inc.
303 North Olympia Avenue
Arlington, WA 98223
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$1,262,069.58

Strider Construction Company, Inc.
4721 Northwest Drive
Bellingham, WA 98226
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$1,211,882.21

SRV Construction, Inc.
P. O. Box 481
Oak Harbor, WA 98277
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$1,071,185.66

Island Construction Site and Utilities
P. O. Box 1467
Oak Harbor, WA 98277
Bid Bond Enclosed
Receipt of Addendum No. 1 Acknowledged
Base Bid: \$1,091,592.17

Ms. Strand stated she would evaluate the bids and would be back to the Board with a recommendation within two weeks.

BID OPENING – PARKING LOT RESURFACING PROJECT

Roger Howard, Facilities Manager, opened the following bids:

Catapult Heavy Construction
14047 State Route 9
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
Bid Bond Enclosed
Base Bid: \$167,624.50

Knutson & Sons, Inc.
13934 Bisquet Ridge Lane
Bow, WA 98232
Bid Bond Enclosed
Base Bid: \$129,546.50

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2001
PAGE NO. 12**

Mr. Howard stated he would evaluate the bids and would be back before the Board with a recommendation within two weeks.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Anderson made a motion to adjourn the proceedings. Commissioner Munks seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

Ted W. Anderson, Chairman

Kenneth A. Dahlstedt, Commissioner

Don Munks, Commissioner

ATTEST:

JoAnne Giesbrecht, Clerk of the Board
Skagit County Board of Commissioners