

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS
SKAGIT COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

Monday, April 11, 1994

Flag Salute.

8:30 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.

Board of Health - Pat Pearce, Director.

- 1) Request for Waiver of Fee for On-Site Sewage System Repairs.
 - A) Community of Blanchard Homeowners.
 - B) Private Party.
- 2) Highlights of Revised State On-Site Sewage Rules.
- 3) State Revolving Fund Grant Proposal.
- 4) Introduction to the Skagit County Oral Health Program.
- 5) Briefing on Proposed Amendments to Skagit County Code 12.16 Relative to Inert Waste Disposal, Variance Procedures and Financial Assurance for Private Solid Waste Landfills.
- 6) Briefing on Proposed Revisions to the Skagit County Health Department Schedule of Charges for Food Service Plan Review and Hot Dog Stand Fees.
- 7) Laboratory Services Review.
- 8) Needle Exchange Survey Results.

10:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

Public Works - Rich Medved, Director.

- 1) Discussion - Bow Hill Road.
- 2) Discussion - March's Point Road Grade Crossing Construction and Maintenance Agreement for Installation of AFL Signals at March's Point Road Grade Crossing.
- 3) Signature - Establishment of C.R.P. #1661-1, March's Point Road.
- 4) Miscellaneous.

11:00 a.m. - 11:15 a.m.

Discussion - Radio Communications E-911.

CONSENT AGENDA.

MISCELLANEOUS.

1:30 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.

Bid Opening - Miscellaneous ADA Projects Around County Campus.

2:00 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Public Hearing - Ordinance Establishing an Indigent Defense Administrative Fee.

2:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

Public Hearing - Formatting Corrections to Skagit County Code.

3:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Executive Session - Personnel, Litigation and Land Acquisition.

The Skagit County Board of Commissioners met in regular session on Monday, April 11, 1994, with Commissioners Robby Robinson, Harvey Wolden and Robert Hart present.

BOARD OF HEALTH, Pat Pearce, Director.

1. Request for Waiver of Fee for On-Site Sewage System Repairs.

A. Community of Blanchard Homeowners.

George Ridgeway, Health Department Staff, advised that the Blanchard-Edison Homeowners have asked for a waiver of fees for on-site sewage system repairs, and commented that the Blanchard community effort has been outstanding in attempting to remedy their problem. The Blanchard-Edison homeowners are asking to waive the \$45 site evaluation fee and the \$60 design review fee. Mr. Ridgeway advised that the Health Officer is against waiving the fees for the Blanchard-Edison community as this would set a precedent for other communities. The waiver would include approximately 20 homes at \$105 each. Staff is recommending no waivers at this time.

Commissioner Hart asked if there is existing criteria for a waiver. Mr. Ridgeway stated that, in fact, there is a procedure in place that involves filling out an application for a waiver, which is presented to the Health Officer. Mr. Ridgeway advised that only the Board of Health can waive fees. Commissioner Hart indicated that this seems to be a bulky process for \$105.

An unidentified private citizen suggested that perhaps a group rate might be possible since all 20 homeowners must engage in on-site sewage system repairs at once. Chairman Wolden indicated that the homeowners' efforts are greatly appreciated, but that the County has also put forth great efforts to assist the homeowners with these repairs. There will be several additional areas in the County that will require work similar to Blanchard-Edison, and if a precedent is set, the effect on the County will be extreme. Commissioner Hart indicated a group rate may be more palatable for the evaluation of specific communities.

B. Private Party.

George Ridgeway advised the Board that a waiver request due to financial hardship has been received for a person in the Rockport area for on-site sewage repairs. Mr. Ridgeway advised that the soils work and design work has been done, but that the individual has not paid for the necessary permit. Mr. Ridgeway stated the individual preferred to remain anonymous and that the permit would cost \$97. Mr. Ridgeway stated that the situation is such that there is concern about the individual's children getting in the sewage. Mr. Ridgeway further advised that the Health Officer recommends denial of the waiver. Commissioner Hart asked staff to clarify the ability of the applicant to complete the necessary repairs once the waiver is granted. Mr. Ridgeway confirmed he believes the applicant will complete the work as designed. Commissioner Wolden stressed again the possible setting of a precedent for others. Commissioner Hart confirmed with Staff that the permit is for service the applicant will be receiving from the County for administrative work. Commissioner Robinson concurred with the feeling of the other Commissioners in holding the line on waivers, but the hardship should be taken into consideration. The Board asked for more information and verification of the individual's hardship. Specifics of the location of the property and the project were discussed.

The Board indicated that if the applicant is committed to do all of this work himself, that perhaps the waiver should be granted. Chairman Wolden reiterated his fear that the Board may be creating a monster in establishing the precedent of waiving fees. The Board indicated that this is a tough decision to make. Mr. Ridgeway suggested that perhaps conditions of time should be appended to the issuance of a waiver of fees. It was further suggested allowing the applicant to pay for the permit over a period of time. Chairman Wolden indicated that waiving the fee altogether with no conditions to be met is not the answer.

Commissioner Robinson moved to waive the application fee for this particular applicant through October, 1994 only. Commissioner Hart seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved. Staff indicated a copy of the application for waiver would need to be forwarded to the Commissioner's office, together with a Resolution concerning this matter. (Staff to provide Resolution at a later date).

2. Highlights of Revised State On-Site Sewage Rules.

Mr. Ridgeway indicated that he does not have a final copy of the state guidelines concerning on-site sewage rules, and advised that hopefully next week he will get a copy of all edited changes, and will see that the Board gets a copy. Mr. Ridgeway briefly reviewed the following general list of changes that will affect Skagit County:

- There will be more emphasis for the county and the general public to maintain and monitor on-site sewage systems.
- All sewage system permits and site evaluation/design approvals will expire in five years from the date of their approval or remain valid for an additional year beyond the effective date of these regulations.
- The soil textural classification has been revised to include more soil classes.
- The County will no longer be required to inspect and approve the manufacture of septic tanks (concrete) within their area.
- A three foot vertical separate will be required on most drainfield systems, however, pressurized drainfield systems will only require a two foot vertical separation.
- An approve installer shall be at the site at all times during the excavation and construction of the on-site sewage system.
- The Health Officer may designate "areas of special concern" such as shellfish growing areas, wellhead protection zones, water contact recreation areas, wetlands and floodplains.
- Minimum lot size for single family residences on an approved public water supply range from 12,500 square feet to 2.5 acres. Single family residences with individual water sources will be required to have a minimum land area of 1 acre.

The Board and Staff discussed these highlights of the proposed Washington State sewage rules and regulations.

3. State Revolving Fund Grant Proposal.

The Board indicated the need to get the revolving loan fund proposal processed so that the County does not lose out because of a missed deadline. The Board reconfirmed with Mr. Ridgeway that the proposal must be submitted by May 31, 1994. Mr. Ridgeway discussed various specifics of the proposal with the Board.

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #4

4. Introduction to the Skagit County Oral Health Program.

Leslee Austin, County Health Department Dental Hygienist, advised that she is a certified dental hygienist and has a master's degree in community dental health organization. Ms. Austin indicated that the primary goals of the Oral Health Program are to assess, plan, implement and evaluate activities necessary to promote good oral health for all people in Skagit County. Since its inception, the Oral Health Program has been widely accepted as a valuable resource for the community and the Health Department. These activities include institution of fluoride mouth wash programs, participation in the summer Best Self program, and continued dental health education in the area schools. There is an obvious need for a dental person who will be an advocate for the citizens who are finding it difficult to access dental care. Ms. Austin advised that the oral health program is totally funded by the State.

5. Briefing on Proposed Amendments to Skagit County Code 12.16 Relative to Inert Waste Disposal, Variance Procedures and Financial Assurance for Private Solid Waste Landfills.

Ken Willis, Health Department Staff, advised the Board that there are some deficiencies of the solid waste code which have the potential of causing problems in the future. There are three major changes and one housekeeping update that the Health Department would like to propose as follows:

- Inert Waste. The current regulation only allows a person to deposit inert waste (concrete, asphalt, bricks, etc.) on his own property, and the waste must result from his own activities. This is unjustifiably restrictive and prohibits use of modest quantities of inert waste in most road-building and fill and grade projects.
- Financial Assurance. Currently Skagit County cannot require an application for a new landfill, other than a municipal solid waste landfill, to develop a financial instrument to cover closure and post-closure costs. The applicant could potentially walk away and leave the County the responsibility of covering these costs. The Health Department is proposing all new landfills be subject to financial assurance requirements.
- Variance. There is presently no method of granting a variance to this code. The Health Department is suggesting adding a variance section.
- WAC 173-351. The Health Department is suggesting the County adopt by reference this relatively new regulation relating to municipal solid waste landfills.

Commissioner Hart asked about the recycling of asphalt and concrete. The current regulation only allows a person to deposit inert waste (asphalt, concrete, bricks, etc.) on his own property, and such waste must result from his own activities. Staff feels this is unjustifiably restrictive and prohibits use of modest quantities of inert waste in most road-building and fill and grade projects.

Commissioner Wolden asked about the financial responsibility of owners of inert waste and demolition. Owners of inert waste, demolition, waste, woodwaste, or problem waste landfills that are permitted after June 30, 1994 and accept waste from the general public shall comply with the financial assurance requirements. Commissioner Wolden asked if this could be retroactively. Chairman Wolden has a problem with clean up of site. Commissioner Robinson confirmed that this is for inert materials only, and therefore the possibility of a major clean-up would be minimal. Chairman Wolden stressed the need to be cognizant of the fact that the County may be financially responsible for clean-up activities.

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #5

Mr. Willis indicated that the State regulation is fairly lax on demolition, woodwaste, problem waste and landfills that can produce contamination of groundwater. There is no provision in the state code to provide for liners. Staff feels that the state code is deficient. Staff queried the Board concerning their feeling about including a section for liners for lechate collection. Commissioner Hart confirmed that woodwaste and sawmill waste currently have no sites currently permitted in the County at this time. If a private enterprise came to the County for such a permit, there is no requirement for liners on a new site.

The Board indicated that some sort of liners or collection system should be required and briefly discussed their visits to sites and various ways of handling the lechate. Mr. Willis confirmed this will raise the cost of site specific maintenance and original installation for development of a disposal site. Commissioner Hart stated run-off collected would be a better way of handling this matter than liners. It was reiterated by Staff that current State regulations do not require any type of liner. Commissioner Robinson indicated the need to do further research at other sites.

This item will be placed on the SWAC agenda for feedback and a public hearing will be scheduled to discuss these revisions if the Board is in concurrence with these items. Mr. Willis indicated that Staff would like to schedule a public hearing on these matters as soon as possible.

Commissioner Hart moved to schedule a public hearing for proposed amendments to Skagit County Code 12.16, Relative to Inert Waste Disposal, Variance Procedures and Financial Assurance for Private Solid Waste Landfills. Commissioner Robinson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. (Staff to provide Resolution at a Later Date).

6. Briefing on Proposed Revisions to the Skagit County Health Department Schedule of Charges for Food Service Plan Review and Hot Dog Stand Fees.

Corinne Story reviewed the history of the proposed revisions to the schedule of charges for food service plan review fees and advised that this is an attempt to defray a portion of the cost of providing services. Ms. Story described the different levels of food service and levels of construction. This proposal has been reviewed by the Food Advisory Committee and the general consensus was that it looked reasonable. The Health Department would like to schedule this proposal for a public hearing as well as gather input from the Board. Commissioner Robinson stated that input from the Food Advisory Committee is the most important in developing these fees. Ms. Story stated that menu complexity is still being discussed and reviewed as a part of this process.

Commissioner Hart moved to call for a public hearing on plan review fees for May 9, 1994. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robinson, and was unanimously approved.

Ms. Story then discussed proposed hot dog stand fees with the Board. Ms. Story advised that currently temporary food booths selling hot dogs are charged a \$50 permit fee (for sale of a potentially hazardous food). Packaged hot dogs are truly a ready-to-eat food that provide minimal risk to the public unless grossly mishandled. Noting this low risk, Food Safety staff, working with the Food Advisory Committee, recommend booths selling only packaged hot dogs be allowed to apply for \$30 fee (non-potentially hazardous food).

Commissioner Robinson indicated this appears to be a good idea, and liked the concept of reducing and streamlining paperwork. Commissioner Hart indicated that having the basic information on the permit application is an excellent idea.

Staff also discussed the proposal for a fee for solid waste variances. The Health Department is proposing

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #6

a variance section to the solid waste code to allow consideration of minor variances by the Health Officer and Skagit County Board of Health. Typically appeals, waivers and variances require large amounts of agency time, and rather than trying to bill after the fact, we would recommend a \$280 fee (8 hours at \$35 per hour). The Board indicated their recommendation that Staff set this matter for public hearing on May 9. Commissioner Hart moved to set this matter for public hearing. Commissioner Robinson seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved. (Staff to provide Resolution at a Later Date)

7. Laboratory Services Review.

This item was withdrawn from the agenda.

8. Needle Exchange Survey Results.

Dr. Howard Leibrand, Health Officer, discussed with the Board needle exchange survey results. The purpose of the needle exchange program is to evaluate the questionnaire sent to seven Washington Counties with existing need exchange programs: King, Pierce, Snohomish, Spokane, Clark, Thurston and Yakima Counties.

Dr. Leibrand reviewed the summary of results of the questionnaire topics which included such items as follows: program fund sources, age of needle exchange program, days and hours of operation, needle distribution sites, needle distributors, determination of the geographical sites in which to distribute needles, input from IV drug users regarding site selection, hours of operation, potential effectiveness of program, informing IV drug users about the needle exchange program, preparing the community for need exchange activity, pitfalls/advice, barriers to the program before and after, rehabilitation and recovery resources available, changes since initiating the program, program evaluation, and educational materials distributed with clean needles/syringes.

The majority of people are generally not receptive to needle exchange programs, according to Dr. Leibrand. One of the major goals is to develop rapport for introducing those in need to rehabilitation programs. Most rehabilitation programs are inadequate. The Health Department is meeting with city police chiefs, and the Needle Exchange Advisory Committee, and will be presenting the Board with periodic updates.

Commissioner Hart indicated the Board's approval of collecting this helpful information from other counties.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, Rich Medved, Director.

1. Discussion - Bow Hill Road.

Doug Barnet, County Engineer, advised the Board on the current status and design for improvements to Bow Hill Road. Recently, a public meeting was held at the Edison Fire Hall to discuss the project and to see alternatives discussed at that meeting. Martin Moon, a local resident, has agreed to be the County's contact for the residents in the area. Mr. Barnet reviewed the chronology to date of the project.

At the public meeting, there were four concerns from local citizenry that Mr. Barnet was asked to look into and report back to the Board and residents in the area. These items are as follows:

- A. Alternative Routes. From a brief inspection done by Mr. Barnet, it appears to him that the best alternative is to continue the road at its current alignment. Since the meeting, Staff looked at several different options to proceed cross country, abandoning the existing alignment of the road. Specifics

of this realignment were reviewed. Mr. Barnet advised the Board that any of these options would involve a tremendous amount of additional costs which would include the purchase of homes, *dissection of agricultural fields*, tearing down existing homes and relocating people, and separating farming operations. Consequently, Staff does not recommend any of these alternative options.

- B. Right of Way of 60 Feet. At the meeting, Staff was asked to determine if the County in fact owns a 60-foot right-of-way along Bow Hill Road. Mr. Barnet advised that this road was established in 1877 by a past Board of County Commissioners. The file contains legal opinions dating back to 1949 wherein the status of right-of-way widths for roads were established. The best information that Mr. Barnet has is that any road established prior to 1989 can claim a 60 foot right-of-way on the existing road, if it is determined that the road was established prior to statehood and based on the legal information available.
- C. Lack of Resident Contact. It was discovered that a number of people had not been contacted by Staff because the County did not require a right-of-way area from them. Staff has now been instructed to contact everyone affected by this change in the roadway.
- D. Increased Public Safety. Although this realignment is intended to create a safe roadway environment, Staff indicated necessity to further look at the interests of residents living along the roadway to mitigate the perceived issues of personal safety. Mr. Moon has agreed to supply a list of people to Staff to see if further personal safety issues can be addressed specifically in regards to guardrails, fencing, and realignment or repositioning of the roadway.

Mr. Barnet reviewed with the Board the exact location of the proposed project and advised that according to State standards, Bow Hill Road is considered a Major Collector. The specific design of the roadway was discussed at length. Staff's recommendation is that the County proceed along the existing alignment of the current roadway. Mr. Barnet advised that Staff will continue to meet with residents to assuage concerns.

Commissioner Robinson clarified with Staff the actual amount of the traffic flow on Bow Hill Road. Mr. Barnet stated approximately 1,100 to 1,200 cars per day use the road. The traffic flow increases as the road gets closer to I-5. Growth in the Samish Island area will potentially increase the amount of traffic in the area.

Commissioner Robinson further queried Staff on the amount of accidents in the area. Mr. Barnet indicated that according to local citizenry, numerous individuals have driven off of the substandard curves of Bow Hill Road and do not get reported. There also have been a fair number of run-offs in driveways and yards as reported by residents.

Commissioner Hart asked staff if the County encourages non-local residents to use the road and is the traffic on Bow Hill Road people from Bow going to I-5. Commissioner Hart further commented that it seems to him that Samish Island residents use D'Arcy and Allen West, and that Josh Wilson Road is probably a more convenient arterial going East than Bow Hill Road. Mr. Barnet advised that Bow Hill Road is the last and most northerly Major Collector in the County. Commissioner Hart explained that he is not against the improvements to Bow Hill Road, but is concerned with the designation of the road as a Major Collector.

Mr. Barnet reaffirmed that there would not be any additional signage installed that would encourage use of Bow Hill Road and that the intent is not trying to encourage those unfamiliar with the area to use Bow Hill Road.

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #8

Tony Breckenridge, 628 Bayview-Edison Road in Edison state that he is not in favor of the project. Mr. Breckenridge indicated his feeling that the people of the Bow-Edison area have not been adequately represented in this project design. Mr. Breckenridge stated that it is not local residents using the road and a major connector, but is individuals taking a shortcut from Bellingham to the refineries in Anacortes. The Road was not intended as a thoroughfare, according to Mr. Breckenridge.

Keith Padgett, 1346 Bow Hill Road, also stated that he is against the project, but is not against improving the road, but against the improvements as proposed by the County. Mr. Padgett pointed out that every curve now existing will still be there after the improvements. Mr. Padgett stated that currently the road is in poor shape so local residents drive slowly, and improvements will create a higher speed road, and will certainly change the look of the community. These improvements will also change the investment of property owners and will take away aesthetically beautiful trees. Mr. Padgett's feeling is that an alternative through farmland might be a better alternative.

Bill Spysma, 1311 Bow Hill Road stated that his wife's co-workers use Josh Wilson Road or Cook Road. As to the right-of-way, Mr. Spysma's deed stated that his property is bordering on the road. Traffic flow on his portion of the road are local residents transporting children to and from school events, etc., according to Mr. Spysma.

Jerry Lomsdalen, 1305 Bow-Hill Road, stated that he has lived in this area all his life and is against the project.

David Hall, 585 Farm-to-Market Road, stated that he has concerns about improvements to the road and the possible resultant increased developments along Chuckanut Drive and in the Bow-Edison area. Mr. Hall further indicated his concern that the "big picture" or whole plan is not in place for future development in this area.

Gus Anderson, 1360 Bow-Hill Road, stated that he is the owner of the Anderson Blueberry Farm and has several concerns. Mr. Anderson indicated that he is opposed to this project although the road needs to be improved somewhat for the safety of those living along the roadway. Mr. Anderson indicated his concern about the lack of input from local residents, and that every step of the way the people living on the road have been taken advantage of. Mr. Anderson further stated that the designation of the collector road status by the state is simply a bureaucratic boondoggle and that it is ridiculous to call a road 1-1/2 mile long with 20 residents a Major Collector. Mr. Anderson indicated that it seems that as the County moved along on this project, everything possible was done to irritate the local residents. Mr. Anderson indicated that everything should be done to compensate the people along the road for their losses and devaluation of their homes and property. Mr. Anderson stated that he is not opposed to improvement of the road, but that other alternatives need to be seriously considered.

Janet Poplasky, 602 Worline Road, stated that her house borders Bow Hill Road and displayed photographs to the Board of how her property will be impacted. Her concern is the impact to the people who live in the community has not been given high enough regard, and that the entire picture should be taken into account and addressed.

Martin Moon, 1373 Bow Hill Road, thanked the residents for coming and the Board for listening. Mr. Moon stated that an error was made several years ago in reclassifying the road to a Major Collector, and that the concerns of local residents are legitimate, that the road improvement will not improve safety and will increase traffic. Mr. Moon stated that most of the local residents moved out there to get away from traffic. Mr. Moon pointed out to the Board that there is a different personality in that piece of the road than the rest

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #9

of the road. Devaluation of properties is a legitimate concern. Mr. Moon stated that County planning needs to revise restrictions on setback requirements.

Mr. Breckenridge asked what the road improvement decision was based on, and again reiterated his concern that non-local residents are using Bow Hill Road as a shortcut. Commissioner Hart reminded Mr. Breckenridge that all residents pay taxes for road improvements, and that the County cannot restrict roads for use by local residents only.

Chairman Wolden clarified with Staff that this project is scheduled for this summer's construction. The Board has authorized and directed Staff to design this project, and that the point this morning was to ask as many questions possible and continue to work with all property owners in an amicable, workable solution. Mr. Barnet stated that if the Board asks engineering to change alignments, this summer's construction schedule would not be met.

Mr. Barnet reiterated to the Board that Major Collector status has been in effect for at least 14 years now, that this is the last stretch of Bow Hill Road to be improved.

A general discussion ensued concerning notification to property owners, use of the road by non-residents, the reliability of car counts on the roadway, and various options and solutions.

Rich Medved, Director of Public Works, reminded those present that Mr. Barnet inherited the management of this project, and is doing what he is supposed to be doing in following the plan that was established some time ago. Mr. Barnet stated that through the last through years the County has made the choice to improve the major collectors throughout Skagit County, that this decision was made over the last couple of years to use the limited resources available to improve the network of roads, and to not address smaller access roads except for routine maintenance.

Mr. Breckenridge asked the Count for proof that this road needs to be improved for safety reasons for the benefit of Skagit County only, not for Whatcom County residents or the State of Washington.

Mr. Padgett stated that it is his feeling that one of the big factors in improving the roadway is the State funding, and asked if there is any possibility to just do improvements to the road without widening the right-of-way. Commissioner Robinson stated that there are specific guidelines for improvements that the State requires. Mr. Barnet further clarified that when the County first started looking at the project, the road was still very curved and that the new design does not straighten out the road, but realigns the road and brings it up to the current minimum design program of the State.

The Board indicated their interest in looking at this again next week to allow the Board time to review the specifics of this project.

2. Discussion - March's Point Road Grade Crossing Construction and Maintenance Agreement for Installation of AFL Signals at March's Point Road Grade Crossing.

Doug Barnet, presented to the Board a contract for signature for the construction and maintenance for the railroad at-grade intersection at March's Point Road, Mile Post 5.75. Burlington Northern will perform all work associated with the installation of the AFL traffic control device except for minor grading and filling as required. Commissioner Robinson moved to sign the construction and maintenance agreement. Chairman Wolden seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved. (Contract #02000)

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #10

3. Signature - Establishment of C.R.P. #1661-1, March's Point Road.

Commissioner Hart moved to sign the establishment of C.R.P. #1661-1, March's Point Road. Commissioner Robinson seconded the motion, which was unanimously passed. (Resolution #15305)

4. Miscellaneous.

There were no miscellaneous items for review.

DISCUSSION - RADIO COMMUNICATIONS E-911.

Tom Sheahan, Emergency Management Director, presented to the Board a letter addressed to the Department of Community Development, advising them that the original contract specifies a plan completion date of April 21, 1994. The County is requesting a 9 day extension to April 30, 1994. The County is also requesting an overall contract extension for submitting our completed implementation plan. The completed plan will be submitted on or before September 1, 1994. Chairman Wolden moved to sign this letter. Commissioner Hart seconded the motion, which was unanimously passed.

CONSENT AGENDA.

Commissioner Robinson moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented for Monday, April 11, 1994. Commissioner Hart seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

* Commissioners' Office:

1. Record of the Proceedings from Monday, April 4, 1994.
2. Record of the Proceedings from Tuesday, April 5, 1994.
3. Northwest Regional Council Interlocal Agreement as amended 2/15/94 establishing the Northwest Regional Council, its mission and adopting the terms and conditions under which the counties shall participate in its activities. (Contract #02001)

• Health:

4. Region 3 Aids Service Network, Skagit County Department of Health Agreement with Snohomish Health District to maintain a County HIV/AIDS Task Force and participate in the Region 3 AIDS Service Network Council for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994 for a total of \$81,864. (Contract #02002)
5. Interagency Agreement Between State of Washington Department of Social and Health Services, Mount Vernon Office, and Skagit County for maintenance of the "Healthy Kids" program for the term February 1, 1994 through January 31, 1995 for a maximum consideration of \$21,882. (Contract #02003)
6. Guest Speaker Contract with Sylvia Leyden to provide presentations on "Personal Perspectives on HIV/AIDS" for a fee of \$25 per presentation during 1994. (Contract #02004)

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #11

7. Guest Speaker Contract with Victor Rangel to provide presentations on "Personal Perspectives on HIV/AIDS" for a fee of \$25 per presentation during 1994. (Contract #02005)
- * Public Works:
 8. Ordinance Establishing Parking Restrictions on a Portion of Lake Sixteen Road, No. 8479. (Ordinance #15308)
 9. Resolution Awarding Farm-to-Market Road Guardrail, et al. to Coral Construction Company. (Resolution #15309)
 10. Resolution Updating County Road Project No. 3101-1, Farm-to-Market Road Guardrail Project and various sites listed therein, together with an Estimate of Cost for same. (Resolution #15310)
- Parks, Recreation & Fair:
 11. Appointment of Board Member to Parks and Recreation Advisory Board (Carroll Dillon for the period April 4, 1994 through June 30, 1995). (Resolution #15311)
- * Facilities:
 12. Call for Bids for Accessibility Projects at Howard Miller Steelhead Park. (Resolution #15312)

MISCELLANEOUS.

1. A private citizen, Roy Grimm, advised the Board that road work on a cul-de-sac on Rose Road needs to be addressed. Mr. Grimm advised that there has been a 2-1/2 year delay in the completion of this work and that there is no place for a firetruck to turn around and that safety issues need to be addressed. Chairman Wolden indicated that the Board would look into the work need at this cul-de-sac.
2. An Out-of-State Travel Request for John Holmes to attend a networking seminar in Las Vegas was presented to the Board for signature. Commissioner Hart moved to approve this request and Commissioner Robinson seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.
3. Vouchers audited and certified by the auditing officer as required by R.C.W. 42.24.080, and those expense reimbursement claims certified as required by R.C.W. 42.24.090, have been recorded on a listing which has been made available to the Board.

As of this date, April 11, 1994, the Board, by a majority vote, did approve for payment those vouchers included in the above-mentioned list and further described as follows:

Voucher numbers 4003601 through 4004275, 4ELO-106 through 4ELO-110, 4010-109 through 4010-132, and PW4-129 through PW4-250 (Warrant Numbers 110226 through 110898) in the total amount of \$794,894.42 (Transmittal Number C16-94).

RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS

April 11, 1994

Page #12

4. Mike Woodmansee, Budget/Finance Director, presented a Resolution to the Board authorizing a call for public hearing on supplemental budget issues concerning the application of grant funds. After review of this Resolution, Chairman Wolden moved to approve this Resolution for signature. Commissioner Robinson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. (Resolution #15307)
5. Mr. Woodmansee presented a Resolution to the Board for signature for a Budget Line Item Change to the Current Expense Fund #001 of \$4,000. Commissioner Hart moved to approve this Resolution as presented. Commissioner Robinson seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved. (Resolution #15306)

BID OPENING - MISCELLANEOUS ADA PROJECTS AROUND COUNTY CAMPUS.

Chairman Wolden waived the reading of the Call for Bids as advertised in The Skagit Argus. The following bids were opened:

Dan Antony Construction
15705 62nd Avenue N.E.
Bothell, WA 98011
\$175,000 - Bid Bond Enclosed

Diamond Construction
P.O. Box 0610
Oak Harbor, WA 98277
\$122,200 - Bid Bond Enclosed

Roosendaal-Honcoop
7468 Hannegan Road
Lynden, WA 98264
\$106,800 - Bid Bond Enclosed

Quantum Construction, Inc.
P.O. Box 998/719 28th Street
Anacortes, WA 98221
\$113,013 - Bid Bond Enclosed

Facilities Director Roger Howard advised that the bids will be reviewed and results will be announced in approximately one week. The Board thanked those bidders present.

PUBLIC HEARING - ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING AN INDIGENT DEFENSE ADMINISTRATIVE FEE.

Gray Foster, Coordinator for the Office of Assigned Counsel, advised the Board that she has not had any negative input from Superior Court or District Court concerning this proposal to establish an indigent defense administrative fee. Ms. Foster advised that this will not interfere with an individual's right to counsel and that 98% of the people who come in for a public defendant can come up with the nominal fee proposed in the Resolution.

Commissioner Robinson moved to adopt the ordinance adding a new chapter to the Skagit county Code regarding fees for applications for counsel at public expense. Commissioner Hart seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved. (Ordinance #15313)

PUBLIC HEARING - FORMATTING CORRECTIONS TO SKAGIT COUNTY CODE.

Staff reviewed the proposed formatting corrections to the Skagit County Code with the Board. Commissioner Robinson moved to approve the Resolution to correct inconsistencies and to update information contained in the Code. Commissioner Hart seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved. (Ordinance #15314)

ADJOURNMENT:

Commissioner Robinson motioned to adjourn the proceedings. Commissioner Hart seconded the motion. The motion was carried unanimously.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON




Harvey Wolder, Chairman


Robby Robinson, Commissioner


Robert Hart, Commissioner

ATTEST:


Patti J. Owen, Clerk
Skagit County Board of Commissioners