

**RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS  
SKAGIT COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**Monday, August 31, 1992:**

9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m. Work Session - New Potential Solid Waste Programs.

11:00 a.m. - 11:15 a.m. Call for Public Hearing - Ordinance Prohibiting Operation of Jet Ski Watercraft on Clear Lake.

CONSENT AGENDA.

MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS.

1:30 p.m. - 3:30 p.m. Public Hearing - Appeal of Decision to Issue Mitigated Declaration of Non-significance for Rock Quarry Operation by Tewalt, Inc., Located on Beaver Lake Road, Mount Vernon.

3:30 p.m. - 5:00 p.m. Executive Session - Litigation and Personnel.

The Skagit County Board of Commissioners met in regular session on Monday August 31, 1992, with Commissioners Robby Robinson, and W. W. Vaux present. Commissioner Wylie's absence was excused.

**CALL FOR PUBLIC HEARING - ORDINANCE PROHIBITING OPERATION OF JET SKI WATERCRAFT ON CLEAR LAKE.**

Connie Carter, Clerk of the Board, presented for signature a resolution to call for public hearing on Wednesday, September 16, 1992, at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as possible, in the Commissioners' Hearing Room, 202A, 700 S. Second, County Administration Building, Mount Vernon, Washington, regarding an amendment to Skagit County Code Chapter 9.04, Boating and Recreational Activities, Prohibiting Operating of Jet Ski Watercraft on Clear Lake

Commissioner Vaux motioned to adopt the resolution as presented, Chairman Robinson seconded the motion, which carried and was so ordered. (Resolution #14458).

**CONSENT AGENDA.**

\* Parks and Recreation Department:

1. Contract for Professional Services with Lakeside Industries from August 10, 1992, through September 30, 1992, to install a basketball court at the Marblemount Community Center with a total cost of \$5,805. (Contract #01399).

\* Public Defender:

2. Contract for Professional Services with Skelton and Tims to carry a full time caseload and to provide coverage for Rebecca Clark while she in on maternity leave from October 1, 1992 through February 1, 1993 in the Skagit County District Court at the rate of \$3,000 per month. In the event Rebecca Clark wishes to return to work prior to February date, two weeks notice is sufficient. (Contract #01400).

**Record of the Proceedings**  
**Monday, August 31, 1992**  
**Page 2**

\* Health

3. Amendments to the Consolidated Contract #1620-01572 has Skagit County Department of Health adding \$562 for increased funding to the WIC program for the months of July through September, 1992, and \$563 for October through December, 1992, increasing the contract by \$1,125 and a maximum total of \$238,937. Billings to be made within 120 days of date of service. (Contract #01168).

\* Department of Planning and Community Development

4. Resolution calling for Public Hearing on addressing issuance of building permits to lots located outside fire districts to be held on Monday, September 14, 1992, at 1:30 p.m. (Resolution #14462).
5. Resolution requesting approval of permit #91-030 for Jerry Rindal's shoreline variance on Big Lake. (Resolution #14461).

\* Sheriff's Office:

6. Revisions of resolutions for bid awards to Food Services of America, #14409 for the purchase of canned goods and staples excluding the 2% milk, cost of \$48,870.70, (Resolution #14469); #14410 for the purchase of Meat Products at cost of \$14,522.48, (Resolution #14470); and #14411 to purchase paper products with total cost of \$4,388.89, (Resolution #14471), all to be used by the Skagit County Jail.

\* Commissioners' Office:

7. Resolution for voluntary transfer of sick leave for the Public Works Department. (Resolution #14463).
8. Record of the Proceedings from Monday, August 24, 1992.
9. Record of the Proceedings from Tuesday, August 25, 1992.
10. Record of the Proceedings from Wednesday, August 26, 1992.

\* Public Works Department:

11. Resolution bid award for Main Street, Conway, C.R.P. #8024-1 to Lovco Construction in the amount of \$123,461.36. (Resolution #14464).
12. Utility Easement - City of Burlington. (#00022).
13. Bid award for the Burlington maintenance yard paving to Associated Sand & Gravel Company in the amount of \$52,156.85. (Resolution #14465).
14. Professional Service Contract with Philip Jost to provide operator and boat to transport County Personnel at the rate of \$225 per day plus \$75.00 per day insurance, from September 1, 1992 through December 1, 1992. (Resolution #01401).

15. Resolution bid award for LaConner-Whitney Road, C.R.P. #4241-2 to Lakeside Industries in the amount of \$347,499.38. (Resolution #14466).
16. Contract with Lovco Construction, Inc. for the Hansen Creek sedimentation pond project. (Contract #01402).
17. Road closure to install culvert under Marine Drive from 7:00 am to 4:00 pm on August 31, 1992 and September 1, 1992. (Resolution #14467).
18. Contract with Lee Johnson & Sons, Inc., for work at the Lake Chiquita Bypass. (Contract #01403).

**MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS**

- A). Vouchers audited and certified by the auditing officer as required by R.C.W. 42.24.080, and those expense reimbursement claims certified as required by R.C.W.42.24.090, have been recorded on a listing which has been made available to the Board.

As of this date, August 31, 1992, the Board, by a majority vote, did approve for payment those vouchers included in the above-mentioned list and further described as follows:

Warrants # PW9200001870 through PW9200002173, and 25049 through 25996 (Vouchers #113516 through 113827) in the amount of \$1,091,873.58. Transmittal Number P-17-92.

- B) A resolution was presented for approval regarding a budget line item change in the amount of \$24,500 for the Current expense Fund #001 in order to provide sufficient funding for the Board of Equalization to accomodate the excess caseload due to 1991 caseload carryover.

Commissioner Vaux motioned to adopted the resolution as presented, Chairman Robinson seconded the motion which carried and was so ordered. (Resolution #14459).

- C) A resolution was presented for approval to call for a public hearing to consider a resolution implementing a \$10.00 per parcel charge upon all State of Washington Department of Natural Resource Land within the Skagit County flood control district. Public hearing to be held October 5, 1992, at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as possible,

Commissioner Vaux motioned to adopt the resolution as presented, Chairman Robinson seconded the motion which carried and was so ordered. (Resolution #14460).

Robby Robinson, Ruth Wylie, and W. W. Vaux were all present for the remainder of the day's session.

**PUBLIC HEARING - APPEAL OF DECISION TO ISSUE MITIGATED DECLARATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE FOR ROCK QUARRY OPERATION BY TEWALT, INC., LOCATED ON BEAVER LAKE ROAD, MOUNT VERNON.**

Chairman Robinson waived the notice of public hearing, as published in The Skagit Argus.

Dave Hough, read into the record the three page report that was previously submitted to the Board for review addressing Appeal #92-005A to the threshold determination on Special Use #91-017 of Tewalt Incorporated.

Summarily:

- Special use application was made April 8, 1991, reviewed, and additional information requested.
- December, 1991, an expanded checklist was submitted.
- March 4, 1992, the Planning Department issued a Mitigated Declaration of Non-Significance (MDNS), comment period ending March 19, 1992, which was circulated for review to the following agencies of jurisdiction and expertise: Washington Department of Ecology, Washington Department of Fisheries, Washington Department of Wildlife, Washington Department of Transportation, Northwest Air Pollution Authority, US Army Corps of Engineers, Skagit Systems Cooperative, Skagit County Health Department, and the Skagit County Public Works Department.
- The Threshold Determination was conditioned as follows (summarized):
  - a. Applicant to provide the Planning Department, prior to operation, evidence of approval from Corps of Engineers for disturbances of wetlands on site.
  - b. Operation to be reviewed every five years to determine whether mitigation measures are still adequate. Review shall *minimally consist of traffic impact, ground water quality, drainage control, and noise*. County can review at discretion prior to five year review date. Results of any review could necessitate re-evaluation of conditions or threshold determination.
  - c. Hours of operation shall be 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Blasting hours limited to 12:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.
  - d. Neighboring Property Owners identified on applicant's map shall be notified by mail no less than one week in advance of all blasting to occur.
  - e. Improvements required to mitigate traffic impacts shall be constructed and approved prior to operation.
  - f. Project shall be operated in accordance with the plans submitted.
- Declaration of Non-Significance was posted on the property March 5, 1992, and advertised in the legal publication of the County.
- An appeal was filed and there was a Hearing Examiner public meeting April 28, 1992. April 30, 1992, the Hearing Examiner entered findings that the MDNS was adequate.
- Request for Reconsideration was filed May 20, 1992. June 3, 1992, the Hearing Examiner allowed the submission of additional written information and evidence until close of business on Friday, June 19, 1992.
- June 29, 1992, the Hearing Examiner denied the reconsideration and upheld the MDNS. He concluded that the Planning Director followed the process required and made use of the given information and reasonably arrived at the determination that the MDNS was adequate.

**Record of the Proceedings**  
**Monday, August 31, 1992**  
**Page 5**

- An appeal was received July 13, 1992, and a public meeting held by the Board of Commissioners August 6, 1992, where it was determined that a public hearing would be held on Monday, August 31, 1992, at 1:30 p.m.
- The purpose of this hearing was to review the record, take additional testimony and evidence and determine whether to retain, modify or withdraw the MDNS.
- Pertinent factors to be considered: the determinations of the responsible official carries substantial weight (WAC 197-11-680(3)(a)(vi) and SCC Chapter 14.24.170(1)(d)); and the burden of proof rests with the Appellant(s).

Chairman Robinson opened the public hearing for comment.

- Charlie Tewalt, 1843 E. James, Mount Vernon, addressed the Board. Mr. Tewalt read into the record a letter from the Sedro-Woolley School District's Board of Directors stating that they had no objection to the development of the proposed quarry and welcoming the business. It was apparent that the School Board was confident that any potential problems associated with the proposed quarry would be investigated sufficiently to insure the safety of the children in the area.

Mr. Tewalt also read into the record a letter from the Department of the Army Corps of Engineers stating that it would not be necessary for authorization from them for the proposed quarry as it was determined that the proposed quarry could be developed without filling the small isolated wetland located at the site. Mr. Tewalt presented an aerial photograph of the proposed quarry site and surrounding land with an access road to the site.

Mr. Tewalt stated that he also had a video that showed a tractor-trailer truck, similar to the type that would be used for the proposed quarry, traveling west on Beaver Lake Road. He stated that the truck had no problem with visibility, navigating the turns, or staying on the right side of the center line, contrary to testimony presented at a previous public meeting.

Mr. Tewalt stated that there had been problems with trespassers on the property site. He caught one individual taking pictures on the property who felt she wasn't hurting anything even though the property is posted with no trespassing signs.

Mr. Tewalt felt that even though the appellants stated that there was nothing personal being held against the Tewalts, Mr. Maloney was verbally attacking them in previous testimony.

Mr. Tewalt stated that the proposed quarry site is not a habitat for wildlife. Contrary to previous testimony, no animals use the rock for their home. The fields surrounding the rock provide a food source for wildlife in the area, and there is evidence that this would continue during the operation of a quarry. The homes built in the area by the neighboring appellants destroyed a wildlife habitat. The area where the homes are located was zoned forestry/timber and was changed to 5 acre residential lots forcing the wildlife to relocate. There is a documented bald eagle nest close to the residential development, and the road to the development runs through a wetlands area frequented by trumpeter swan, duck, and geese. The rezoning of the housing area was not contested and the adverse effect on the wildlife was not considered. The MDNS shows that the wildlife habitat will be improved.

Mr. Tewalt said that the quality of water run-off will be improved by the construction of sediment ponds and with a bio-filtration system. The residential area created increased water run-off, increased pollution from

septic systems, and heavy sedimentation in Turner Creek. He said that he and his family have donated several thousands of dollars worth of rock to the local drainage district to help build a sediment pond and improve fish habitat. The run-off problem was created by the residential area, which is located outside the drainage district boundaries. The residents of the development do not pay for the problem created, and have not offered to help resolve it.

Traffic generated by the quarry would be approximately 80 vehicles per day. There is a requirement to improve the intersection at State Route 9 and Beaver Lake Road before production could begin. The traffic and potential traffic from the homesites in the 5 acre and 20 acre tract areas could easily exceed the quarry related traffic.

Mr. Tewalt felt that the location of the quarry site and its limited accessibility would make it less likely to be an historical site. He felt that the easily accessible hillside where the residential area is would be more apt to be a cabin site, campsight, or burial ground.

Mr. Tewalt stated that the tax base will be increased on the quarry site property if permitted. The sales tax revenue from the rock and road use tax will increase financial support for the School District.

Other benefits from the proposed quarry would be lower rip-rap prices in the County, better quality rip-rap meaning less failures in levies and longer project life, and quality rock available for emergency situations.

Mr. Tewalt felt that the appellant accusing the County of showing favoritism due to him being a County employee was unjustified as his permit request has been in process for four and a half months.

- Ted Maloney, 1398 Beaver Lake Road, Clear Lake addressed the Board. He stated that he objected to Mr. Tewalt presenting testimony first in the hearing, and to the comments that he made. He felt that Mr. Tewalt had brought up legitimate issues, but not issues pertinent to this hearing.

He said that the complaint by Mr. Ash of the project being delayed was due to the Hearing Examiner's conduct, not the appellants'. He stated that after the first time the appellants asked for time to review the MDNS that they have not asked for additional time.

Commissioner Vaux stated that the public hearing was being held because due process may not have been served. He stated that the Board was following the same procedure as has been the practice in the past.

- John Smith, 1313 Maple Street, Clear Lake addressed the Board. Mr. Smith felt that the Board should require an EIS. He felt that there should be a request for information to ensure that all the facts are known about the situation. He stated that it sounded as if rip-rap for dikes and levies was all that was to be taken from the quarry. He asked if that was the case, then why was the application for more than that? He wondered why the crusher and screener were not addressed. He wondered what the impacts to the area would be if the application goes through as it is.

Mr. Smith felt that the appellants being attacked for delaying the project was unjust. He felt that the time factor was not due to the appellants, but due to the public not being able to review the MDNS earlier. Now that the appellants have reviewed the document, it is thought to be impressive in size but not in content. He felt that it was necessary to further address the impact on the area, the quality of life, the impact on the farming community and wildlife. He stated that it was normal to hear farm equipment and smell farm smells in a farming community, but it would not be normal to hear constant industrial noises and the truck traffic that would be generated from the quarry activities.

Mr. Smith felt that the existing reports address the amount of traffic that Beaver Lake Road can handle, but not how 100 more trucks on the roads will affect the area, and how many days of the year the quarry will be in operation. He felt that the safety of the walkers, bikers, and school children would be affected by the truck-trailer traffic, as well as being too heavy for the road. Mr. Smith submitted a letter for the record from Mr. Bill Boston, 1313 Beaver Lake Road, Clear Lake, expressing concerns of the traffic, noise and environmental impacts of the proposed quarry. He felt that the MDNS presented theories on the potential noise levels from the operation of the proposed quarry, and that actually test levels of equipment at the site should be done. He stated that the proposed quarry is not a conventional one and the noise generated from it would reverberate across the valley. He requested the Board to consider the issues presented by the appellants.

- Mr. Maloney readdressed the Board. Mr. Maloney stated that one issue to be addressed at the public hearing were whether a mistake was made by the Planning Department regarding State environmental protection laws. He reviewed the terms of a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) and MDNS, stressing that they are issued when there is no probable significant impact. Probable meaning more likely than not; and significant meaning more than moderate. Mr. Maloney stated that the issues needing addressed were aesthetics, noise, traffic, safety, wildlife, cultural resources, and water quality. Mr. Maloney presented slides of the area around the proposed quarry site to indicate the aesthetic value. He pointed out that Turner Creek runs within 75 feet of the site on the east side. Mr. Maloney also presented a video taken on Beaver Lake and other roads that he filmed on June 17, 1992, of typical quarry sites in the County such as Meridian Quarry on Hickox Road, Butler Hill Pit, and Samish Pit. He stated that all of these sites are below normal ground level in their areas and are screened by natural vegetation or topography and do not create a negative aesthetic affect. The video also showed various views of the proposed quarry site from different roads in the surrounding area, Elk Drive where the housing development is, and from the Kutz residence which looks west toward the site. He pointed out that the only sound heard in the site area was the sound of the wind on the recorder's microphone. Also on the video, was the view following a truck from the VandeGrift pit off Highway 9 where the truck crossed over the center line when navigating a curve going toward the Tewalt quarry site.

Mr. Maloney felt that there were sufficient traffic concerns to substantiate an EIS. He stated that there were other safety hazards that were not sufficient to stop the project, but are sufficient enough to require an EIS

Mr. Maloney stated that JGL, the firm hired by the appellants to review the noise report submitted by Towne, Richards & Chaudiere, Inc. for Land Technologies, found that the noise report did not address the right points. He said that Land Technologies' report estimated that noise levels from the quarry would be around 58 decibels which is two decibels lower than the maximum allowable level. JGL predicted that the levels would be 59 to 62 decibels without the crusher running and 61 to 64 decibels with it running. He said that JGL used the same information to arrive at their findings as Land Technologies did.

Mr. Maloney stated that 60 decibels is the level of normal conversation, but rural area background noise levels are very low. He said that under SEPA guidelines, significant background noise is what the impacting noise levels are to be compared to.

Mr. Maloney presented a picture of Veazy Valley Proposed Quarry, Fell Hill, Enumclaw. The proposed quarry site is similar to the proposed Tewalt quarry. King County required an EIS after a similar noise study was done without background noise consideration.

In closing, Mr. Maloney requested that he be allowed to respond to other testimony at the end of the hearing.

- John Miller, VP, Trico Construction, 1621 Gunderson Road, Mount Vernon, addressed the Board. Mr. Miller stated that after receiving notification from Mr. Maloney about the proposed quarry, he called him to ask a few questions. He said that Mr. Maloney's main concern seemed to be regarding traffic. Mr. Miller stated that Mr. Maloney had not been aware that 3,000 to 3,500 tons of rock per day was being hauled from the VandeGrift quarry. He felt that the traffic generated by the proposed Tewalt quarry could not create an adverse condition when the trucks from the VandeGrift quarry had gone unnoticed.

- Lyle Fox, Clear Lake, addressed the Board stating that the bikers and joggers should be taught how to use the road safely. He felt it unfair that people were being told what they could or could not do with their own property.

- Fred Tewalt, 1330 Austin Road, addressed the Board. Mr. Tewalt stated that the noise generated by the proposed quarry would not be constant. There are restrictions put on the operation by the County, and the County can check up on the operation at any time. He stated that his own children ride their bikes on Beaver Lake Road every day. He said that there are a dozen residents on Beaver Lake Road with lawns that touch the road who support the proposed quarry. Mr. Tewalt stated that Scott Kirkpatrick told him that the MDNS was the best study he had seen.

Mr. Tewalt felt that the rock has only one use. He said that the trees on top of the rock were cut two years ago and no one noticed. He said that the swans will continue to be there due to the fields to feed in, and even during blasting there were ducks to hunt.

- Jamie Lanning, 1312 Highway 9, Mount Vernon, addressed the Board. Mr. Lanning stated that he has been in the area since 1950 and hasn't noticed that many joggers and bikers on the road. He said that there have been no trucks wrecked, but there have been cars that pull out and create accidents. He felt that if the road were improved, that traffic problems would then increase. He stated that he helped dig out a ditch behind Mr. Maloney's, traveling down the hill for drainage, and now the Tewalt's need a settlement pond to catch it.

- Martha Jordon, 11005 44th Street Drive NE, Snohomish, addressed the Board. Ms. Jordan stated that she is a wildlife consultant and has dealt with noise issues and wildlife for the last six years. She voiced concern that an EIS had not been required for this project. She wasn't requesting that the permitting of the quarry be turned down, but felt that the long term impacts needed to be more fully addressed. She stated that bald eagles are a threatened species and the issue of eagles being in the area of the proposed quarry had not been addressed.

- Cheryl Harrison, Buchanan Hill, Clear Lake addressed the Board. Ms. Harrison encouraged the Board to require a detailed EIS in order to address and analyze all the impacts to the area. She stated that she had concerns regarding the traffic safety impacts that would occur on Beaver Lake Road and on Highway 9. She stated that it appeared that the VandeGrift Trucks had difficulty negotiating safely on the roads and felt that an increased volume of traffic would increase potential problems and road noise. She said that she can hear and feel the truck traffic at her home as it is presently.

- Elsa Gruber, Box 21, La Conner, Conservation Chairman for the Skagit Audubon Society, addressed the Board. Ms. Gruber stated that she was familiar with the SEPA process and was confident that the County intended to follow the process correctly. She voiced concern regarding impacts to wildlife and human habitats. She felt that the proposed Tewalt quarry project was the type of project that SEPA was designed for. Ms. Gruber stated that the issue being addressed was whether the proper process was being followed for this project. She felt that as the County grows, there needs to be more public education.



Ms. Gruber was concerned about the precedent that would be set for future projects. She felt that the threshold determination of the MDNS was inadequate and that an EIS should be required. She said that projects such as this one with possible or probable significant impact should be fully addressed. Ms. Gruber again urged the Board to require an EIS.

- Bob Dean, Commissioner, Dike District #1, 1402 Calhoun Road, Mount Vernon, Washington, addressed the Board. Mr. Dean stated that his concern was regarding the need for rock to maintain and repair dikes in the County. He would like the proposed Tewalt quarry to be permitted. He had read the MDNS and felt that it was complete and adequate in addressing the potential noise, and traffic issues. He stated that there will be jobs coming up that require rock, and with only 2 or 3 quarries available, there needs to be another to have a better selection of quality rock. He stated that the PL99 and FEMA projects were started with Tewalt rock, then completed with Granite Falls rock in Snohomish County. He requested that the quarry be allowed to be permitted without drawing the process out further.

- Mike Crawford, 1868 Rock Ridge Lane, Sedro-Woolley, addressed the Board. Mr. Crawford stated that he is located one-half mile northeast of Butler Pit, and is general manager of four sand and gravel pits in the area. He stated that the County needs to have mineral resources for present and future public and private needs to be met. He stated that he had reviewed the report prepared by Merle Ash for content and clarity and felt it was a thorough report. He stated that there will be some impacts that cannot be mitigated. He felt that noise impacts would be less if the proposed Tewalt quarry was permitted than if rock had to be acquired from outside the County. He stated that most of the impacts created by quarry operations are not a daily event. Mr. Crawford felt that an EIS should not be required.

- Denise Tewalt, 1843 E. James Street, Mount Vernon, addressed the Board. Mrs. Tewalt stated that the housing development she lives in is next to Meridian Quarry and there are 23 more homes to be built. She stated that those homes were closer to the Meridian Quarry than any of the homes in the proximity of the proposed Tewalt quarry. She stated that she and her family have lived there for 17 years and there is still a lot of wildlife that comes in the yard. She stated that another housing development, Cascade Ridge, borders Pacific Quarry. She felt that the proposed Tewalt quarry would create no negative impacts.

- Bill Kutz, 1436 Caribou, Mount Vernon, addressed the Board. He stated that he lives directly east of the proposed quarry site and lives where he does due to the aesthetics and the quiet of the valley. Mr. Kutz stated that he reviewed the study developed by Mr. Ash and felt there to be numerous inadequacies. He stated that an actual noise study had not been done, there were no calculations or sound levels included. He felt that a detailed study was necessary including calculations to justify the findings of the study. He stated that the proposed Tewalt quarry is not a small project, and felt that if it was allowed to be opened without an EIS, then there would be no limit to what could be opened without an EIS. Mr. Kutz stated that the ambient noise levels in the area are very low and the quarry operation would create a significant change. He felt that the face blasting of the rock would impact the neighbors. He said that he disputed the ability of the road to handle the traffic created by the quarry safely not whether it is within usage capacity. He felt that there were issues that need to be addressed further before the quarry is allowed to be in operation. His major concern was regarding the noise that would be generated from the proposed quarry operation. He felt that a quarry proposed to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on a daily basis warrants an EIS.

- Lisa VandeGriff, 2310 Benham Road, addressed the Board. Ms. VandeGriff felt that the appellants should go look at an operating quarry and the one proposed, to see how they operate. She stated that there was a video to be viewed showing how the trucks from VandeGriff quarry do stay within the proper lane of traffic. She stated that the proposed Tewalt quarry has been in thought for years and that the permitting of it would be the right thing to do. She stated that there are a lot of things happening in the area presumably without

permits, and the Tewaits should be recognized for following all the proper procedures. She encouraged the Board to allow the permitting process to continue. She felt that the appellants were exaggerating in their thinking that 100 more trucks a day would be created by the proposed quarry operation. She requested that the Board view the video she referenced.

Commissioner Vaux motioned to continue the public hearing to Tuesday, September 1, 1992, at 2:00.

Commissioner Wylie seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Commissioner Wylie motioned to adjourn the proceedings. Chairman Robinson seconded the motion. The motion carried and was so ordered.


BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  
SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robby Robinson, Chairman

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruth Wylie, Commissioner

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
W. W. Vaux, Commissioner

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Connie Carter, Clerk  
Skagit County Board of Commissioners

