

3/10/2005 Page

1 of 5 1:57PM

AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO: SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER 302 SOUTH FIRST STREET MOUNT VERNON, WA 98273

DOCUMENT TITLE: ORDERS ON REQUESTS FOR RECONSIDERATION SU 04 0167 MODIFICATION

HEARING OFFICER: SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

APPLICANT: PORT GARDNER TIMBER (Bow Hill Mill)

APPELLANTS: JAMES R. BUCKNELL, JOHN and GLENDA EVERETT, JAN GORDON, ERIC STARK

ASSESSOR PARCEL NO: P48691; P48701

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: The proposed project is located at 16812 Colony Road; a portion of the west ½ of the northeast ¼ of Section 35, Township 36 north, Range 3 east, W.M.; Skagit County, Washington.

))

}

In the Matter of the Application of
PORT GARDNER TIMBER
For a Special Use Permit to
modify SPU 92-006 for expansion of
mill operating hours

SU 04-0167 ORDER ON REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

- 1. On January 5, 2005, the Hearing Examiner, *pro tem*, issued a decision in the above-referenced matter.
- 2. On January 12, 2005, the Hearing Examiner received a timely request for reconsideration by **James R. Bucknell**.
- 3. The staff report misidentified Mr. Bucknell, as did the original decision. The decision should be modified to reflect his proper name.
- 4. SCC 14.06.180 states that: "Reconsideration should be granted only when a material legal error has occurred or a material factual issue has been overlooked that would change the previous decision."
- 5. The request for reconsideration does not meet the standard and is therefore denied, except as to be modified per paragraph number 3 above.

SO ORDERED, this 4th day of March 2005.

Bradford E. Furlong, Hearing Examiner Pro Tem



) )

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of
PORT GARDNER TIMBER
For a Special Use Permit to
modify SPU 92-006 for expansion of
mill operating hours

# SU 04-0167 ORDER ON REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

- 1. On January 5, 2005, the Hearing Examiner, *pro tem*, issued a decision in the above-referenced matter.
- 2. On January 18, 2005, the Hearing Examiner received a timely request for reconsideration by **John and Glenda Everett**. Although the request was received thirteen days after the decision date, it was timely because the 10<sup>th</sup> after the date of decision fell on a weekend. This would ordinarily make the deadline the following Monday but that Monday was Martin Luther King Day, a legal holiday. Therefore Tuesday, January 18, 2005 at 4:30 p.m. was the effective deadline for filing a request for reconsideration.
- 3. SCC 14.06.180 states that: "Reconsideration should be granted only when a material legal error has occurred or a material factual issue has been overlooked that would change the previous decision."
- 4. The request for reconsideration does not meet the standard and is therefore denied.

SO ORDERED, this 4th day of March 2005.

Bradford E. Furlong, Hearing Examiner Pro Tem



)

)

) )

In the Matter of the Application of
PORT GARDNER TIMBER
For a Special Use Permit to
modify SPU 92-006 for expansion of
mill operating hours

SU 04-0167 ORDER ON REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

- 1. On January 5, 2005, the Hearing Examiner, *pro tem*, issued a decision in the above-referenced matter.
- 2. On January 13, 2005, the Hearing Examiner received a timely request for reconsideration by **Jan Gordon**.
- 3. SCC 14.06.180 states that: "Reconsideration should be granted only when a material legal error has occurred or a material factual issue has been overlooked that would change the previous decision."
- 4. The request for reconsideration does not meet the standard and is therefore denied.

SO ORDERED, this 4th day of March 2005.

Bradford E. Furlong, Hearing Examiner Pro Tem



3/10/2005 Page

4 of

5 1:57PM

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of
PORT GARDNER TIMBER
For a Special Use Permit to
modify SPU 92-006 for expansion of
mill operating hours

SU 04-0167 ORDER ON REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

- 1. On January 5, 2005, the Hearing Examiner, *pro tem*, issued a decision in the above-referenced matter.
- 2. On January 12, 2005, the Hearing Examiner received a timely request for reconsideration by **Eric Stark**.
- 3. SCC 14.06.180 states that: "Reconsideration should be granted only when a material legal error has occurred or a material factual issue has been overlooked that would change the previous decision."
- 4. The request for reconsideration does not meet the standard and is therefore denied.

SO ORDERED, this 4th day of March 2005.

Bradford E. Furlong, Hearing Examiner Pro Tem

