

KATHY HILL
SKAGIT COUNTY ARBITRATOR

'99 JUN -1 P2:35

RECORDED _____ FILED _____
REQUEST OF _____

9906010191

AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO:
SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER
302 SOUTH FIRST STREET
MOUNT VERNON, WA 98273

DOCUMENT TITLE: APPEAL AP 99 0209


GRANTOR(S): SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

APPELLANT: JOHN AND JUDI ACKER, ET AL

ASSESSOR PARCEL NO: P61844

ABBREVIATED LEGAL DESCRIPTION: a portion of Section 34, Township 35 North, Range
1 East, W.M., Skagit County, Washington

9906010191



AP 99 0209.ORD

SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER
STATE OF WASHINGTON

In the matter of:)	
Administrative Appeal AP 99 0209)	Findings of Fact
By John and Judi Acker, et al)	Entry of Order
for:)	No. AP 99 0209
Appeal of a residential shoreline exemption issued)	
by the Skagit County Shoreline Administrator for)	
applicants Jerry and Virginia Scheffer, and Edwin)	
and Erin Scherrer d/b/a Anaco Beach Guest Suites)	
Inn)	

THIS MATTER having come regularly before the Skagit County Hearing Examiner for a Public Hearing under an administrative appeal filed with the Skagit County Planning and Permit Center on behalf of the Appellant appealing a decision by the Skagit County Shoreline Administrator for applicants Jerry and Virginia Scheffer and Edwin and Erin Scheffer d/b/a Anaco Beach Guest Suites Inn, PL 99 0190, for property located at 11110 Marine Drive, Anacortes, WA ; within the NE ¼ of Section 34, Township 35 North, Range 1 East, W.M., Skagit County, Washington;

Assessor Account No: 3858-000-034-0007; P61844

And, notice having been given to all parties of record and all matters in the file having been considered together with the testimony, evidence, and exhibits in open hearing and made a part of the record in this matter; the Hearing Examiner makes the following findings of fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. May 12, 1999 was fixed as the date of the public hearing and the Hearing Examiner held a public hearing on that date.
2. All persons present at the Public Hearing were given an opportunity to present evidence and testimony, and all correspondence received was made a part of the record.
3. The Planning and Permit Center presented testimony and evidence for the record.
4. The Appellant was represented by C. Thomas Moser and presented testimony and evidence for the record.
5. The Applicants were represented by Joel Haggard and presented testimony and evidence for the record.
6. At the hearing the applicants and the appellants agreed as follows, with such statement signed by attorneys for both:

SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER DECISION AND ORDER NO. AP 99 0209.ORD - PAGE NO. 2

7. Based on the staff report recommendation that the appeal of the shoreline exemption be granted, the submission of the appellants and stipulation of the applicant, through counsel, that the appeal may be granted, the examiner hereby reverses the shoreline exemption and remands to the staff for processing of the appropriate, if any, shoreline permits.

SKAGIT COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER


ROBERT C. SCHOFIELD

Date of Action: 5/26/99

9906010191

BK 1999 PG 0155